

//  
//  
//

( )

\*

:

( )

:

*mm*

*Paired t-test*

*/ ± / mm*

*/ ± / mm*

:

:

:

( )

%

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

[RT 2800/ GE ]

5.5 mHTZ

paired t-test

(PG)

( )

/ ± /

/ ± /

/ ± /

/ ± /

/ ± /

/ ± /

/ ± /

**REFERENCES**

- 
1. Cuninghum FG, Leveno KJ, Steven L. William's Obstetrics. McGraw Hill: New York. 2005; PP: 860-2.
  2. O'comell MP, Lindow SWL. Reversal of asymptomatic cervical length shortening with cervical cerclage : a preliminary study . Hum Reprod 2001; 16(1): 172-3.
  3. Guzman ER, Houlinhan C, Vintzileos A, Ivan J, Benito C, Kappy K. The significance of transvaginal ultrasonographic evaluation of the cervix in women treated with emergency cerclage Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996; 175(2): 471 -6.
  4. Funai EF, Paidas MJ, Rebarber A, O'Neill L, Rosen TJ, Young BK. Change in cervical length after prophylactic cerclage. Obstet Gynecol 1999; 94(1): 117-9.
  5. Dijkstra K, Funai EF, O'Neill L, Rebarber A, Paidas MJ, Young BK. Change in cervical length after cerclage as a predictor of preterm delinery . Obstet Gyn 2000; 96(3): 346-50.
  6. MRC/RCOG Working Party on Cervical Cerclage; Machnaughton MC, Chalmers IG, Dubowitz V, Dunn PM, Grant AM, McPherson K, et al. Final report of the medical Research council / Royal college of obstetricians & Gynecologist multicentre randomised trial of cervical cerclage . Br J Obstet Gynecol 1993; 100: 515-23.
  7. Anderson FH, Karimi A, Sakala EP. Prediction of cervical cerclage outcome by endovaginal ultrasonography. Am J obstet Gynecol 1994; 171: 1102-6.

Archive of SID