

2 ... *1

86/11/14:

1

2

*

E-mail:hzaherikh2007@yahoo.com

(CR) (PR) (MA) (RIR)

12 30 56 8 6 3

× MA 28 4 RIR

× PR MA × CR MA

(P<0/05) 56 42 21

(P<0/05)

29/84

42 PR × MA

CR × MA

♂ × MA ♀

× RIR ♀ CR ♂ × MA ♀ MA ♂ × PR ♀ RIR

RIR ♂ × MA ♀ MA ♂ × CR ♀ PR ♂ × MA ♀ MA ♂

Performance of Hybrids Produced from the Cross of Marandi with RIR, White PR and CR

H Zaheri Khosroshahi^{1*} and F Afraz²

¹East Azarbaijan Province, Agriculture and Natural Resource Research Center, Iran.

²Animal Science Research Institute, Tehran, Iran.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: hzaherikh2007@yahoo.com

Abstract

This experiment was conducted to evaluate productivity of Iranian native Marandi (MA), White Plymouth Rock (PR), Cornish (CR) as meat types and Rhode Island Red (RIR) as dual purpose breeds and their crosses in 12 breeding combination. No crosses was considered between pure breeds. In meat type crossing, thirty chicks produced (in total 1680 chickens) in three successive hatches and were reared separately up to 56 days of age. Chickens were weighed at third, sixth and eighth week, and feed consumption was also measured. At the end of experiment, one cock and one hen were randomly chosen and slaughtered. In dual purpose type crossing, chicks from crosses were kept at 28 boxes in litter system up to four months of age separately. During this period eight pullets from each box were randomly selected and transferred to individual cages. Traits such as egg numbers and weights, Hugh unit, index and feed intake were measured for six months. The results showed that hybrids from MA with PR or CR had significantly higher body weight, carcass weight, most parts of carcass and feed intake, and lower FCR at the end of 21, 42 and 56 days of age in comparison to pure MA ($P < 0.05$). Significant sex effect was observed for body weight and carcass weight and whole pieces except abdominal fat. The highest and lowest egg production (EP) was for RIR and pure MA, respectively, and EP in hybrids was about 29.84% higher than pure MA. Feed intake, Hugh unit, egg index differed significantly among the different crosses. Heterosis in MA and PR crossing was negative for all traits except for feed intake in 42 days, percentage of carcass, breast, wing, back and neck and giblet. However, it was positive in MA and CR crossing for all traits except for percentage of breast, giblet and FCR. A negative heterosis was observed in crossing between MA and RIR for all traits except for mean egg weight and feed intake. Crosses MA σ * PR ϕ , CR σ * MA ϕ and MA σ * RIR ϕ had superiority to their reciprocals MA ϕ * PR σ , CR ϕ * MA σ and MA ϕ * RIR σ , respectively.

Key Words: Cornish, Heterosis, Marandi, Plymouth Rock, Rhode Island Red

RIR♂ × NN♀

NN♂ × RIR♀

(2004)

FAY♂ × RIR♀

RIR♂ × FAY♀ (1988)

1983 1364) 1965 1374)
 1988 1988 1988
 (1984) (1990 1989
 (1983))
 (2
 3
 (1988)
 1374 1364)
 (1990 1984 1983
 1988 1988)
 (1989) (1997 1989
 (1364)) 21/6 10
 (1994) (1988

¹Egyptian Fayumi
² Deshi
³ Asil

آزمایش ۳	آزمایش ۲	آزمایش ۱	1965	1374)
$(RIR) \delta \times (RIR) \varphi^{-1}$	$(CR) \delta \times (CR) \varphi^{-1}$	$(PR) \delta \times (PR) \varphi^{-1}$	(2004)	1994	1992
$(RIR) \delta \times (MA) \varphi^{-2}$	$(CR) \delta \times (MA) \varphi^{-2}$	$(PR) \delta \times (MA) \varphi^{-2}$			
$(MA) \delta \times (RIR) \varphi^{-2}$	$(MA) \delta \times (CR) \varphi^{-2}$	$(MA) \delta \times (PR) \varphi^{-2}$			
$(MA) \delta \times (MA) \varphi^{-4}$	$(MA) \delta \times (MA) \varphi^{-4}$	$(MA) \delta \times (MA) \varphi^{-4}$			
6	7				

Archive of SID

				(1369))
	12				
		7			
		2 1			
		3			
	NRC				
	(100)				
			600		1800
(1371)					
	9	3			
				7	84
	56				
	2 × 1/5		(PR)		(MA)
				(CR)	
	8	120			

Archive of SID

(1993) SAS

6

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + H_j + X_i + e_{ijk}$$

56 42 21

$$\begin{aligned} &= H_j & &= \mu & &= Y_{ijk} \\ &= e_{ijk} & & & &= X_i \end{aligned}$$

(S_k)

(6)

(Hu)

56 42 21

$$\begin{aligned} (Hu &= 100 \log (H + 7.57 - 1.7W^{0.37}) \\ & (/) \times 100 \end{aligned}$$

(1)

()¹

(P < 0/05)

(3 2)

(2)

$$H = \frac{(AB + BA) - (AA + BB)}{(AA + BB)} \times 100$$

3

¹Reciprocal effect

Archive of SID

)

				$RIR_{\text{♂}} \times MA_{\text{♀}}$
	(1994	1989	1988	$RIR_{\text{♂}} \times RIR_{\text{♀}}$
				$MA_{\text{♂}} \times MA_{\text{♀}}$

(1994) 5 4

56 42 21 42

)
(1965) 1983

Archive of SID

(P<0/05)

(1374)

56 42 21
(P<0/05)

() 1990 1988 1374)
(2004

1983)

(.

Archive of SID

/1	18	/	180
			1387

	()	(%)	5
4/19	1/21		
1/54	2/80	()	
2/10	1/74		
1/25	0/16		
395	0/59	()	
0/09	7/85		

(1994)

(2004) MA RIR

(1988) (21/6) 10 (1994) RIR MA

(2004) RIR MA

FAY♂ × RIR♀

RIR♂ × FAY♀

MA♂ × RIR♀

(× MA♀

RIR♂

(2004)

56 29/84 RIR MA

MA PR MA MA♂ × RIR♀

66/30 69/93 CR × MA♀

RIR♂

.1374

/1	18	/	182
			1387

50	.1364
	.71 70
58	.1369
	.12 4
	.1374

() .1371

Akhtar A, 1994. Performance of indigenous naked-neck, RIR and naked-neck × RIR under local conditions of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J of Anim Sci* 23:1-2, 155.161.

GRIRAJA, 1997. A miracle fowl for villagers/tribal. Dept. of Poultry Science, Univ of Agri Sci Hebbal Campus, Banaglor-560 624, Karnataka, India.

Horst P, 1988. Native fowl as reservoir for genomes and major genes with direct and indirect on egg productive adaptability. *Proc 18th World's Poultry Cong (Nagoya)*, 99-105.

Huang HH and Lee YP, 1992. Commercial production of local chicken in Taiwan. *Proc 19th World's Poult Cong (Amsterdam)*, 618

Lee VP, 1990. Development and improvement of local chicken in Taiwan. 5th AAA, *Proc Conf (Taipei)*, 349-353.

Makarechian M, Farid A, Nik-Khah A and Simhaee E, 1983a. Productive characteristics and genetic potentials of indigenous poultry of southern Iran for meat production. *World Rev of Anim Prod* 19(1): 45-51.

Makarechian M, Farid A and Simhaee E, 1983b. A preliminary study on egg production and laying patterns of indigenous poultry of southern Iran. *World Rev of Anim Prod* 19(3): 15-25.

Makarechian M, Farid A and Simhaee E, 1984. Short term response to selection for egg production of indigenous poultry of southern Iran. *World Rev of Anim Prod* 20 (3): 15-21.

Makarechian M and Nik-Khah A, 1965. Cross breeding between the native and New Hampshire breeds of poultry. *Res Bull (No. 4)*, Dept Anim Sci Shiraz University Iran.

Mukherjee TK, 1990. Breeding and selection programs in developing countries. Pp: 1049-1060. In: Crowford CD (ed). "Poultry breeding and genetics". Elsevier Science Publishers Bv, Amsterdam.

Okada I, 1989. Poultry breeding studies on native and other breeds for egg and meat production in Japan. *Food and Fertilizer Technology Center. Extension Bulletin* 289: 1-11.

Omeje SSI and Nwosu CC, 1988. Utilization of the Nigerian chicken in poultry breeding: Assessment of heterosis in growth and egg production. *J Anim Breed Genet* 105: 417-425.

Rahman MM, Baqui MA and Howlider MAR, 2004. Egg production of RIR× Fayoumi and Fayoumi×RIR crossbred chicken under intensive management in Bangladesh. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 16(11): 1-2.

SAS Institute, 1993. *SAS user's guide: Statistics*. 1993. SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC.

Xiangpin Q, 1988. Utilization of native chickens in China. *Proc 18th World's Poult Cong (Nagoya)*, 118-121.

Archive of SID

Archive of SID

Archive of SID

1

2				1				←	↓
× MA ♀ MA ♂	MA ♂ × CR ♀	× MA ♀ CR ♂	× CR ♀ CR ♂	MA ♂ × MA ♀	× PR ♀ MA ♂	PR ♂ × MA ♀	PR ♂ × PR ♀		
90±6 ^b	134±6 ^a	137±8 ^a	171±9 ^a	106 ± 5 ^c	166 ± 8 ^b	149 ± 5 ^b	223 ± 21 ^a	()	↓ 21
211±13 ^b	284±37 ^a	237±15 ^b	285±20 ^a	239 ± 23 ^b	278 ± 26 ^b	252 ± 23 ^b	345 ± 56 ^a	()	
2/34±0/19 ^c	2/07±0/31 ^b	1/77±0/14 ^a	1/67±0/19 ^a	2/25 ± 0/28 ^b	± 0/18 ^a	1/69 ± 0/19 ^a	1/55 ± 0/32 ^a		
					1/67				
260±11 ^d	420±20 ^c	467±21 ^b	549±40 ^a	301 ± 21 ^c	517 ± 45 ^b	476 ± 31 ^b	743 ± 62 ^a	()	↓ 42
763±38 ^c	1105±98 ^a	984±29 ^b	1156±69 ^a	749 ± 25 ^c	± 91 ^{ab}	895 ± 49 ^b	1131 ± 134 ^a	()	
					1013				
2/93±0/18 ^c	2/64±0/19 ^b	2/10±0/11 ^a	2/11±0/24 ^a	2/49 ± 0/20 ^c	± 0/16 ^b	1/88±0/14 ^b	1/52 ± 0/23 ^a		
					1/96				
414±22 ^c	674±32 ^b	703±54 ^b	953±95 ^a	409±26 ^c	706±65 ^b	684±43 ^b	1098±54 ^a	()	↓ 56
1177±45 ^c	1780±151 ^b	1628±51 ^b	2061±126 ^a	1270±49 ^c	1771±144 ^b	1622±92 ^b	2330±250 ^a	()	
2/84±0/13 ^c	2/64±0/20 ^b	2/32±0/15 ^a	2/16±0/15 ^a	3/11±0/22 ^c	2/51±0/22 ^b	2/37±0/18 ^{ab}	2/12±0/22 ^a		

(P<0/05)

a-c

56

(%)

()

2

		<i>MA</i> ♂× <i>MA</i> ♀	<i>MA</i> ♂× <i>PR</i> ♀	<i>PR</i> ♂× <i>MA</i> ♀	<i>PR</i> ♂× <i>PR</i> ♀
731±109 ^b	944±141 ^a	570±103 ^c	849±95 ^b	793±55 ^b	1212±140 ^a
528±86 ^b	674±110 ^a	366±85 ^c	610±73 ^b	566±43 ^b	888±105 ^a
71±2/27 ^a	70±1/89 ^a	68±2/65 ^b	72±1/89 ^a	71±1/89 ^a	73±1/52 ^a
20/61±3/25 ^b	19/51±3/38 ^a	18/52±3/81 ^c	20/50±2/75 ^b	19/08±1/50 ^b	21/99±2/39 ^a
18/34±2/98 ^b	17/10±3/03 ^a	16/20±3/46 ^c	17/66±2/73 ^b	17/18±1/25 ^b	18/42±2/11 ^a
9/14±1/37 ^b	8/44±1/22 ^a	10/07±1/56 ^c	9/88±1/18 ^b	9/93±0/65 ^b	8/43±0/95 ^a
16/68±2/84 ^b	17/11±2/86 ^a	18/62±3/32 ^c	17/58±2/64 ^b	16/88±1/53 ^b	15/09±2/01 ^a
7/62±0/96 ^b	6/85±0/87 ^a	7/81±0/98 ^c	8/21±0/77 ^b	7/19±0/54 ^b	6/77±0/67 ^a
0/93±0/20 ^a	0/82±0/13 ^a	0/60±0/08 ^b	0/87±0/11 ^b	0/72±0/08 ^b	1/04±0/32 ^a
		<i>MA</i> ♂× <i>MA</i> ♀	<i>MA</i> ♂× <i>CR</i> ♀	<i>CR</i> ♂× <i>MA</i> ♀	<i>CR</i> ♂× <i>CR</i> ♀
671±82 ^b	797±100 ^a	471±41 ^c	787±80 ^b	770±57 ^b	993±26 ^a
476±73 ^b	567±77 ^a	326±32 ^c	545±81 ^b	555±46 ^b	720±62 ^a
69±4/92 ^a	71±1/89 ^a	67±3/03 ^c	69±2/27 ^b	72±2/65 ^a	72±1/52 ^a
17/48±2/55 ^b	19/80±2/58 ^a	16/24±2/24 ^c	18/84±2/25 ^b	18/63±1/64 ^b	20/80±1/62 ^a
17/34±2/57 ^b	18/95±2/78 ^a	15/95±2/16 ^c	17/16±2/41 ^b	17/17±1/32 ^b	18/96±1/86 ^a
9/25±1/29 ^b	8/63±1/01 ^a	9/46±1/10 ^c	9/13±1/19 ^b	8/92±0/70 ^b	8/34±0/62 ^a
16/86±2/55 ^b	15/88±2/30 ^a	17/89±2/51 ^b	16/54±1/85 ^b	16/87±1/71 ^b	15/13±1/56 ^a
8/61±0/84 ^b	7/07±0/79 ^a	8/91±0/67 ^b	7/54±0/66 ^a	7/87±0/58 ^a	7/42±0/11 ^a
0/82±0/14 ^a	0/74±0/11 ^a	0/28±0/03 ^b	0/80±0/08 ^a	0/87±0/13 ^a	0/99±0/09 ^a

.(P<0/05)

a-c

MA♂ × MA♀	MA♂ × RIR♀	RIR♂ × MA♀	RIR♂ × RIR♀	
118/04±31/76 ^b	130/17±26/63 ^b	125/98±23/53 ^b	141/26±21/42 ^a	
50/98±5/63 ^c	54/94±5/79 ^a	53/40±5/17 ^{ab}	54/41±4/48 ^a	()
82/62 ±2/95 ^{bc}	83/50±1/98 ^{ab}	81/40±1/95 ^c	85/20±2/01 ^a	
76/24±1/87 ^a	76/34±0/87 ^a	75/09±0/87 ^b	75/44±0/90 ^b	
16861±567 ^c	17684±387 ^a	17289±380 ^b	17908±392 ^a	()
3/15±0/95 ^c	2/48±1/10 ^{ab}	2/57±0/82 ^a	2/33±0/79 ^b	
(P<0/05)				a-c
		()	(%)	4
3/00	3/83	17/00	4/26	21
47/00	5/04	26/00	9/25	21
0/30	4/24	0/02	11/58	21
47/00	9/64	41/00	4/88	42
121/00	8/86	118/00	1/49	42
0/54	5/95	0/08	4/24	42
29/00	0/73	22/00	7/76	56
152/00	5/25	149	5/75	56
0/32	0/8	0/14	6/69	56
10/00	5/16	44/00	3/92	
3/00	1/44	1/00	1/42	
0/21	1/16	1/42	2/30	
0/01	1/66	0/48	0/63	
0/21	1/40	0/05	7/08	
0/33	1/18	0/70	2/22	

0/33
0/07

5/63
31/50

1/20
0/15

5/62
3/05

Archive of SID