T.M

```
T.M
                                    (USDA,
             Solonchaks Gypsisols Calcisols
                                                      Cambisols Fluvisols
                                                       %
(Haplocambids Haplocalcids
                          (Calcaric Cambisols Calcaric Fluvisols
                                                                   )
       IRS
                                                   11:
```

www.SID.ir

TM

.

/ μ m) % M.S.S (Multi Spectral Scanner) M.S.S (Thematic Mapper)TM

Lee

180 Dwivedi PAN (Panchromatic) Liss-III I.R.S-1C I.H.S (Intensity Hue 'Saturation) (Neville . / μm) μm) T.M (Principal Components Analysis) P.C.A (Gypsum Index) Lee . T.M T.MM.S.S Tasselde Cap (Greenness Index) Roundabush

www.SID.ir

U.T.M

. (km²) (Weak aridic)

. Thermic

.()

```
T.M
                  : (Digital Pre-Processing)
                                                                 ) T.M
                                                                 Arc/Info ver:3.4.2
                                                    Arcview
         (Digital Number ,D.N)
                                                                            Idrisi ver:2.0 ver:3.1
                                                                   G.P.S
                                                                     .(Global Positioning System)
Band ) B.I.P
                        (Interleaved by Pixel
                                                   Methods of Soil
                                                                                .(
                                                                                        Analysis
```

```
(Geometric Correction )
                                                                                  G.C.P_s
   U.T.M
                       R.M.S
) .
  .(
                         R.M.S
     : (Digital Image Classification )
```

Digital Post-)		T.M	
		:(Processing ()	
×	(Mean Filter)		
(Mode Filter)			
		×	
		·	
Arc/Info			
()	GIS	G.P.S %	
.()		(Maximum Likelihood) (S.T,1999)	

Hn	Classification (S.T)	(F.A.O)
1	Gypsic Haplosalids, fine, mixed Thermic	Gypsic Solonchaks
2	Xeric Haplocalcids, fine, inixed Thermic	Haplic Calcisols
3	Xeric Haplocambids, loamy over sandy skeletal aniso, toixed Thermic	Calceric Fluvisols
4	Xeric Haplocambids, fine, mixed Thermic	Calceric Cambisols
5	Sodic Haplocamids, fine loamy, mixed Thermic	Calceric Cambisols
6	Xeric Haplocamibds, fine loamy, mixed Thermic	Calceric Cambisols
7	Xeric Haplocambids,, Lomay skeletal, mixed Thermic	Calceric Cambisols
8	Xeric Torrifluvents, sandy over loamy skeletal, mixed Thermic	Calceric Fluvisols
9	Xeric Torrifluvents, sandy skeletal, mixed Thermic	Calceric Fluvisols
10	Sodic Haplocalcids, fine, mixed Thermic	Haplic Calcisols
11	Sodic Haplogypsids, fine, mixed Thermic	Haplic Gypsisols
12	Xeric Haplogypsids, fine, mixed Thermic	Calceric Gypsisols

		()	
	1		
	1		
	1	1	
	1	1	
	1	1	
	1	1	
	1	1	
	1	1	
	,		
	,	,	
	1	10	
	,		
.()	02	
		Q	
	• 4		
		Ground	Truth)
1			
	Y		

(Map

188

		()	
	1	I	
	1	1	
	1	1	
	1	1	
	1	1	
	1		
	1	1	
ļ	1		
ļ	1	1	
	1	1	
	1	T	
	1		
	• 4		
	(Error Ma	atrix)	
	(Enc. Va.	uin,	
). ()	

(overall accuracy) % % % % Congalton Kaht) (Kappa (Producer's accuracy) (user's accuracy) (% Category %

7- Congalton, R.G., Oderwald, R.G. and Mead R.A., 1983. Assessing landsat classification accuracy using discrete multivariante analysis statistical techniques, PE & RE, 49: 12:1671-1678.

8- Duchemin,B, 1999. NOAA/AVHRR bidirectional reflectance: Modelling and application for the monitoring of a temperate forest.Remote Sensing of the Environment, 16:51-67.

9- Dwivedi, R.S., K.V.Ramana, S.S.Thammappa and A.N.Singh, 2001. The utility of IRS-IC LISS-III and PAN-merged data for mapping salt affected soils, Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing ,67: 10:167-1175.

10- Dymond, J.R.D, Agnes Begne and Danny Leseen, 2001. Monitoring land at regional and national scales using remote sensing, I.T.C, Journal, Vol. 3, Issue 2.

11-Lee, R.S. ,G.B.Lee, and E.J.Tyler, 1988. Thematic mapper and digital elevation modeling of soil characteristics in hilly terrain, Soil Sci.soc, Am.J.52: 1104-1107.

12- Lee, k.S., G.B.Lee, and E.J.Tyler, 1988. Determination of soil characteristics from thematic mapper data of a cropped organic & inorganic soil land scape, Soil Sci, soc, Am. J. 52:1100-1104.

13- Neville, P., R.I.Coward., R.P.Watson, M.Ingils and S.Morain, 2000. The application of T.M imagry and GIS data in the assessment of arid lands, Water and land resources in west Texas, Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote sensing, 66: 11: 1373-1379.

14- Palacios-roueta, A., Jorge E.Pinzon, Susan L. Ustin and Dar A. Roberts, 1999. Remote sensing of soils in the Santa Monica Mountains: II Hierarchical foreground and background analysis, Remote Sens, Environ, 68:138-151.

15-Roundabush, R.D., R.Herriman, R.Barmore, and G.Schellentrager, 1985. Use of Landsat multispecral scanning data for surveys on Arizona rangeland. J.Soil &Water Conserv. 40: 242-245. 16-U.S.D.A,1999. Keys to soil taxonomy, Eight edition, Natural Resources Conservtion Service.

Classification and Mapping of Varamin Plain Soils Using Satellite Images Derived from T.M. Sensor

K. Moravvej¹

F. Sarmadian²

Sh. Mahmoodi³

Abstract

In this study, processing of satellite images derived from T.M. sensor was used for soil studies and finally for producing soil units map. The region is part of Varamin plain and watershed catchment of Jajroud River. According to the nearest weather station information, average of yearly rainfall of study area is between 140 and 150 mm with average temperature of 18°C. Based on two different methods, soils of this region can be classified based on soil taxonomy method (i.e., two Aridisol and Entisol orders) and based on F.A.O. method (i.e., mainly as Fluvisol, Cambisol and some units as Calsisol, Gypsisol and Solonchak). Agreement ratio between soil maps derived from digital classification with the maximum likelihood method and ground truth map devolved from traditional methods and its kappa index were 82% and 75%, respectively. Analyzing the error matrix of this research showed that among 12 units derived from this study, differentiation of units 2 from 6 and 9 from 7 were poor (i.e., units 2, 6, 9 and 7 correspond to Haplocalcid, Haplocambid, Calcaric Fluvisol and Calcaric Cambisol, respectively). Meanwhile, the presence of high silt content in the texture of topsoil created high spectra reflection, which caused great similarity of the spectra with saline soils or other chemical substances. This resulted in some problems when analyzing dates and specially image classification.

This research suggests that in soil studies, beside selection of suitable spectral bands, images be used when land is bare. It should be noticed that images of the land with and without plant coverage can be used simultaneously, too. To reduce the above-mentioned interference, in stead of classifying based on the difference in spectra reflection, digital dates produced by other sensors, such as SPOT satellite (of France) or IRS satellite (of India) and also other classification methods based on phenomenon coordinate system should be studied.

Keywords: Digital processing, T.M. sensor, Soil units map, Supervised classification, Agreement ratio, Kappa index, Error matrix, Spectra reflection, Maximum likelihood.

¹ - Senior Expert of Soil Science

² - Faculty Member, Univ. of Tehran

³-Faculty Member, Univ. of Tehran