(MD) amsmeibodi@yahoo.com: (LV) CABG (% EF EF ) EF ICU (P<0.005) (P<0.0001) CABG CABG CABG / .( ) .( )

www.SID.i.

CABG (CO) .( ) (1EF) EF % EF (EF<20%) EF) % .( SVG Lima .( ) MI EF CABG CABG CABG EF off - pump

y www.SID.ir

•••

```
(% / % / ) CHF ( c)
(% / %
.(P<0.001)
AF
                                                               ICU
       .( )
                             CVA
                                                          Ш
                    .( )
                                                                 EF
                                                        % / ±% /
          (
                        (
                                  EF
         P. value
          N.S
                        98/Y± /
                                           / ± /
         < 0.005
          N.S
          N.S
                                                              AMI
          < 0.001
                                                              PMI
          < 0.001
                                                              CHF
                                            /
          N.S
                                                              AF
                                            1
          N.S
                                            /
                                                          LM
                                                              3VD
          < 0.05
                                            /
          N.S
                           /
          N.S
                           /
          N.S
          N.S
          N.S
          N.S
          N.S
          N.S
                           /
                                            /
                                                             CVA
     N.S: Not Significant
                   ) ( ) EF
```

ı ı ı www.SID.ir

		1	1
P. value			
N.S	/ ± /	/ ± /	
< 0.0005	± /	/ ± /	
< 0.0005	/ ± /	/ ±	
< 0.0001	±	/ ±	
N.S		1	Lima
			SVG
< 0.05	1	1	

N.S: Not Significant

ICU

ICU (% / ) (% / (% / ) (% / ) (% / ) EF » :( / ± / / ± / / ± / / ± / EF / ± / ± / % ±% / complication / / MI / ±% / III CHF CVA (% / .(P<0.001) Angina PTCA

ı ı www.SID.ir

CHF

( ) .

CABG ( )

CABG

CABG

**CABG** 

(% % )
... CHF
.( )

(IABP)

1. Libby P, Bonow RO, et al. Braunwald's Heart Disease: A Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia; WB Saunders, 2007.

- 2. Fuster V, O'Rourke R, Etal. Hurst's The Heart. 12<sup>th</sup> ed. New York; Mc Grow-Hill, 2007.
- 3. Ascion Raimando, et al. Early And Midterm Clinical Outcome In Patients With Server Left

Ventricular Dys Function. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003; 76:793-799.

- 4. Mickleborough LL, Etal. Results of Revascularization in Patients With Severe Left Ventricular Dysfunction. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2000; 119: 550- 557.
- 5. Selim Isbirc, Etal .Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery in Patients with Severe Left Ventricular Dysfunction. Ini J Cardiaol 2003; 90(2-3): 309-16.

## Investigation the Outcomes of CABG in Patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction

\*Sadeghi Meibodi A.M.(MD)<sup>1</sup>

\*Corresponding Address: Dr Heshmat Hospital, Guilan university of Medical Sciences, Rasht, IRAN E-mail: amsmeibodi@yahoo.com

Received: 17/Jan/2010 Accepted: 17/Mar/2010

## **Abstract**

**Introduction:** Patients presenting with severe left ventricular dysfunction undergoing coronary artery surgery are at increased risk of preoperative morbidity and mortality.

**Objective:** Investigate the outcomes of CABG in two groups of patients.

**Materials and Methods:** In this descriptive study 815 patients who underwent coronary artery surgery were divided to two groups: Group A, 66 cases with EF less than 40% and group B, 749 cases with EF more than 40%.

**Results:** Most of the cases were men and their Mean age was 63 years old. Most of the cases in Group A were in class III or IV of cardiac failure before the surgery (in attention to EF reported in echocardiography). In this group mean aortic clamp time, pomp time and surgery time were more than group B. Also the evidences showed that intubations time, ICU stay and Hospitalization were more in group A. There were statistically meaningful differences between mortality (P<0.0001), morbidity after surgery and 5 years survival (P<0.005) in two groups. The results of study showed that left ventricular dysfunction had negative effects on prognosis of CABG. It is obvious that in these situations, heart transplant is better than CABG, but because of limitation of donated hearts, CABG is the main therapeutic plan now.

**Conclusion:** CABG can be more effective if the protective treatment and operation will be done as soon as possible and decrease the incidence of mortality and morbidity.

**Key words:** Coronary Artery Bypass/ Heart Ventricle

Journal of Guilan University of Medical Sciences, No: 73, Pages: 97-102

