Medical Journal of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences Vol.47, No.85, P:319 -323 Autumn 2004



/ .( ) A,B,CI III II Ш U.T.N ( % / II

(% / )

IIIA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UTN: Unreamed Tibia Nail

.(%/) (% / ) .(% / ) (% / ) IIIA (%/) II II IIIA ,(% / ) (% / ) . (% / ) III / II / I (%/) ,(% / ) IIIA .( ) (%/) (% / ) (% / )

<sup>2</sup> Kuntsher<sup>3</sup> Lottes<sup>4</sup> Gustilo

.( )		,	,	1 1	
.( )	. UTN	.( )	(% ) (% / ) /	ı	
IIIB I	,	, .		1	
.( )		CC			/
		0)	(% /)	.( )	
	30	/	.( )	%	
4	/		РТВ		
		II I	.( )	. ,	
.( )			/ III ( )		/
eating enley				(	

/

## References:

83(1): 62-8.

- 1- Alho A., Benterud J.G., et al: Comparison of functional bracing and locked intramedullary nailing in the treatment of displaced tibial shaft fracture. Clin Ortop, 1992; 277: 243-250.
- 2- Alberts K.A., Loohagen G., Einarsdottir H.: Open tibia fracture: Faster union after undreamed nailling than external fixation. Injury, 1999; 30(8): 519-523.
- 3- Antich-Adrover P., Marti-Garin D., Murias-Alvarez J., Puente-Alonso C.: External fixation and secondary intramedullary nailing of open tibial fractures. A randomised, prospective trial. J. Bone. Joint. Surg. Br,1997; 79(3):433-7. M., 4- Bhandari Guyatt G.H., Swiontkowski M.F., Schemitsch E.H.: Treatment of open fractures of the shaft of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg. Br, 2001;
- 5- Bonatus T., Olson S.A., Lee S., Chapman M.W.: Nonreamed locking intramedullary nailing for open fractures of the tibia. Clin Orthop, 1997;(339):58-64 6- Charles M., Court Brown,: Fractures of the Tibia and Fibula. in: Robert W., Bucholz, James D., Heckman,: Fractures in Adults, 5th ed. Vol 2, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2001:1944-1984.
- 7- Clifford R.P., Beauchamp C.G., Kellam J.F., et al: Plate fixation of open fracture of the tibia. J. Bone. J. Surg, 1998; 644-8.
- 8- Court-Brown C.M., MC Brirrie J.: The epidemiology of tibia fracture. J. Bone. J. Surgery, 1995; 77 B: 417-421.
- 9- Finkemeier C.G., Schmidt A.H., Kyle R.F., Templeman D.C., Varecka T.F.: A

- prospective, randomized study of intramedullary nails inserted with and without reaming for the treatment of open and closed fractures of the tibial shaft. J. Orthop Trauma, 2000; 14(3):187-93.
- 10- Gill D, Hadlow A.: The unreamed tibial rod in open tibial fractures Aust. N. Z. J. Surg, 1997; 67(12): 869-71.
- 11- Grimard G., Naudie D., Laberge L.C., Hamdy R.C., Open fractures of the tibia in children.Clin Orthop, 1996; (332): 62-70.
- 12- Gustilo R.B.: The fracture classification manual, St Louis,1991; Mosby.
- 13- Hains J.F., Williams E.A., Hargadon E.S., et al: Is conservative treatment of displaced tibial shaft fracture justified? J. Bone. J. Surgery, 1984; 66B: 84-88.
- 14-Happer G.J., Keddell R.G., et al: Conservative management or close nailing for tibial shaft fracture, A randomised prospective trail. J.Bone. J.Surgery,1991. Henley M.B., Chapman J.R., Agel J., Harvey E.J., Whorton A.M., Swiontkowski M.F.: Treatment of type II, IIIA, and IIIB open fractures of the tibial shaft: a prospective comparison of interlocking intramedullary unreamed nails and half-pin external fixators. J. Orthop. Trauma, 1998;12(1):1-7.
- 15- Keating J.F., O Brien P.J., et al: Reamed interlocking intramedullary mailing of open fracture of the tibia. Clin Orthop, 1997; 338: 182-191.
- 16-Sanders R., Jersinovich I., Anglen J., DiPasquale T., Herscovici D., Jr.: The treatment of open tibial shaft fractures using an interlocked intramedullary nail.

17- Schmitz M., Finnegan M., Natarajan R.: Effect of smoking on tibial shaft fracture healing Clin Orthop,1999

(365):184-200

/

## Evaluation of surgical treatment of open tibia shaft fractures with unreamed tibia nail (UTN)

BACKGROUND: The goal of treatment of open tibia fracture is to prevent infection , fracture healing , and restore normal limb function. unre 對源則 amed tibia nail (UTN , Synthese) is now replaced with external fixation for treatment of open tibia fracture because of easy insertion and low complications. The purpose of this study is to evaluate union rat with this device in open tibia fracture and compare complications with other studies.

From 1998 to 2001, sixty-eight patients with open grade I to grade IIIa tibia fracture were treated with unreamed tibia nailing and evaluate prospectively to find results and complications of this tool of fixation. Of these group 46 patients were available for follow up at an average of 6 months after surgery. 31 patients had type IIIa and 9 patients had type II and 6 patients had type I Gastilo open tibia fracture . operation performed at a mean of 6 days after fracture .

**Results:** union occurred at a mean of 18/66 weeks for type I and 20/8 weeks for type II and 26/2 weeks for type IIIa open tibia fracture. Superficial and deep infection occurred in 10 % and malunion occurred in 4/35 % and delayed union occurred in 2/17 % and nonunion occurred in 2/17 % and ankle joint stiffness occurred in 6/52 % and knee joint stiffness occurred in 2/17 % and locking screw failure occurred in 6/25 % and nail failure occurred in 4/35 % of patients.

**Conclusion:** The advantages of the UTN are less infection rate incontrast to external fixators and lesser need for secondary operation and greater patient comfort. Therefore, we find the UTN to be a good alternative to the other fixation devices in the treatment of open fractures of the tibia.

Kay word: Open tibia fracture, Unreamed tibia nail, Gastilo classification, locking screw, External fixator