(1383

83/11/13: _ 83/8/10:

Evaluation of Selection of Route of Delivery and It's Causes in Patients Referring to Medical Centers of Semnan from April till September 2004

Abstract:

Objective: The purpose of this study is to assess the causes of cesarean and tendency of patients about the method of delivery and causes of selection and increase rate of cesarean.

Materials & Methods: This study was done from the first of April 2004 till the end of September 2004 in Semnan. The study groups were all pregnant women that referred to Amir Almomenin hospital or private offices of obstetricians and gynecologists. Patient's tendency and causes of selection of kind of delivery, job, education, and parity were record. Exclusion criteria was previous cesarean section or patients had no tendency to any route of delivery. After collection of information, the data assessed by statistical analysis by SPSS software.

Results: The total number of study groups were 400 pregnant women. 248 (62%) women selected the normal vaginal delivery and 152 (38%) women preferred cesarean section. The most common cause of tendency to normal vaginal delivery were less postpartum pain 36% and rapid recovery 33%. The most common cause of tendency to cesarean were fear from pain during vaginal delivery about 59%. About the correlation of job and selection of cesarean in housewife group significant correlation was present and also about the education and selection of cesarean in low educated group significant correlation was present. (p < 0/000 and P<0/000 respectively) and finally about the correlation of parity (p1, P_2 and P > 3) and selection of cesarean in prime pare significant correlation was present. (P<0/000).

Conclusions: In this study the tendency to cesarean section was high in compare to others countries, and the common causes of preferring of normal vaginal delivery were less post partum pain and more rapid recovery. The most common cause of selection of cesarean section was labor pain during normal vaginal delivery also, job, education and parity are important factors for selection of route of delivery.

Key Word: Cesarean Section, Normal Vaginal Delivery, Post Partum Complications, Route of Delivery.

0231 4449580: 0231 4449401 2:

SM.42395@yahoo.com:

Archive of SID (6) (8) (7) (9) (10) 1999 1989 1 18 21 10 3 4 2 3/8 100000 6 23) .(11) (100 . (1) 13 3/2 . (2) 100000 . (12) 2 8 1965 . (3) 1985 . (13) . (4) 10 . (14) (5)

www.SID.ir

Archive of	of L	SID
------------	------	-----

(19) . (20) 40 37 (21) 40

2 . (15)

: . (16) 2

. 1383 . (16) 4 9

(17) 38

;

. (

. (18)

www.SID.ir

10 2/3) 8 (7) 6 (:: 18) 28 (3/9)	(59) 90	SPSS
6 (3/9) (248 400 2 152 (62) 59 90	10	
18) 28	2/3) 8 (7)	
(248 400 152 (62)	6 (:
152 (62)	18) 28 (3/9)	
(38) (38) (38) (38) (38) (36) 90 (33) 82 (15) 36 (6) 16 (10) 24 (92) 368 (65) 240 (35) 128 32 (37/5) 12 (8)	. (248 400
59 90 7 10 5/3 8 3/9 6 18 28 100 152 (6) 16 (10) 24 36 90 33 82 36 90 33 82 15 36 32 6 (37/5) 12 (8) 10	2	152 (62)
7 10 7 10 5/3 8 3/9 6 18 28 100 152 (6) 16 (10) 24 36 90 33 82 36 90 33 82 15 36 33 82 15 36 6 16 32 6 (37/5) 12 (8) 10		(38)
7 10 5/3 8 3/9 6 18 28 100 152 (6) 16 (7) 10 (8) 10 10 24 10 24 10 24 10 24 10 24 10 24		
5/3 8 3/9 6 18 28 100 152 (6) 16 (7) 10 (8) 36 (92) 368 (35) 240 (35) 128 32 6 (37/5) 12 (8) 10 24 10 24		
3/9 6 18 28 100 152 (6) 16 (7) 10 (8) 10 (10) 24		(26) 00
18 28 100 152 (6) 16 (10) 24 (92) 368 (65) 240 (35) 128 32 6 (37/5) 12 (8) 10 24 10 24		
(15) 36 (6) 16 . (10) 24 1 36 90 (65) 240 33 82 (35) 128 15 36 32 6 16 (37/5) 12 (8)		
(6) 16 . (10) 24 (92) 368 (65) 240 (35) 128 32 (37/5) 12 (8) (6) 16 . (10) 24 1 1 (10) 24		(15) 36
(92) 368 (65) 240 (35) 128 32 (37/5) 12 (8)	100 132	(6) 16
(92)368 (65) 240 (33 82 (35) 128 32 6 16 (37/5) 12 8)		. (10) 24
(65) 240 (35) 128 32 6 16 (37/5) 12 (8)		1
(65) 240 (35) 128 32 6 16 (37/5) 12 (8)		
(35) 240 (35) 128 32 (37/5) 12 (8) 15 36 6 16 10 24	(92) 368	
32 6 16 (37/5) 12 (8) 10 24	(65) 240	
(37/5) 12 (8)	(35) 128	15 36
	32	6 16
(62/5) 20 100 248	(37/5) 12 (8)	10 24
	(62/5) 20	100 248

www.SID.ir

. (P<0/000)

3

			4
,	,		
()		
54	278	332	
78.0	254.0	332.0	
40	28	68	
16.0	52.0	68.0	
94	306	400	
94.0	306.0	400.0	

()			
240	128	368	
8،231	2:136	386.	
		0	
12	20	32	
20.2	11.8	32	
252	148	400	
152.0	148.0	400.	
		0	

```
278 ( 83) 332
                                 ( 83/7)
                           54
(
    61) 244
                                      ( 16/3)
          ( 68) 166
                           17)
                               68
         (
             32)
                  78
                                ( 58/8) 40 (
(
    27/5) 110
                             ( 41/2) 28
          ( 61/8) 68
          ( 38/2) 42
   11/5) 46
                              . ( P<0/000 )
      ( 43/5) 20 (
       ( 56/5) 26
```

1996

5 1996 20 1998 21/2 2000 78 244 116 154.9 89.1 244.0 15 68 42 110 1990 . (22) 69.9 40.0 110.0 12/8 14/2 20 26 46 10/7 29.2 16.8 46.0 21 . (22) 400 254 146 254.0 146.0 400.0 50 . (23)

. (23) : 38

37

. (24) 1379

1988 1965

25 20 .(25) 4/5

25 20 . (25) 4/5 47 32/6 (26) 1988 25 1965

1970 .

. 1980

1989 .

Archive of SID

1381 . (28) 43 44 1376 . (29) 1379 DHS 1381 46/6 35 50 41/6 43/8 27/7 25/5 . (30) 43 1381 1381 38 . (29) (59) . (7) 1381 (37/2) (29) (35/8)

:

```
SPSS

38) 152 ( 62) 248 400 :
36 ( 79 33 33 ... (P<0/000) ... (P<0/000)
```

References:

- 1. Lilford RJ, van-Coeverden Be Groot Ha, Moore P, et al. The relative risks of caesarean section (intrapartum and elective) and vaginal delivery: a detailed analysis to exclude the effects of medical disorders and other acute pre-existing physiological disturbances. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1990; 97:883-892.
- 2. Schuitemaker N, van-Roosmalen J, Dekker G, et al. Maternal mortality after caesarean section in the Netherlands. Acta Obste Gynaecol Scand 1997;76:332-334.
- 3. Hall M, Chamberlain G, Patel N (eds). Audit in the future of the maternity services. London: Rcog Press; 1994.
- 4. Hall MH, Bewley S. Maternal mortality and mode of delivery. Lancet 1999 Aug 28; 354(9180):776.
- 5. Hemminki E, Merilainen J. Long-term effects of cesarean sections: ectopic pregnancies and placental problems. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996 May;174(5):1569-74.
- 6. Lo Jy, Hartley CC, Wendal Gd. Uterine evacuation complicated by hysterectomy: an association with prior cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000; 182 (Suppl): S151. WWW.SID.ir

- 7. Chen FC, von Dehn D, Buscher U, et al. The use of condoms, and a history of caesarean delivery: potential predisposing factors for latex sensitization in pregnant women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999; 181:1461-1464.
- 8. Scholefield HJ, Sajjad Y, Morgan PR. Cutaneous endometriosis and cesarean section . J Obstet Gynecol 2000; 20(Suppl): S45-S46.
- 9. Whitted R, Verma U, Boigl B, et al. Does cesarean delivery increase the prevalence of adenomyosis? Obstet Gynecol 2000; 95(Suppl): S83.
- 10. Lydon RM, Holt VL, Martin DP, et al. Association between method of delivery and maternal rehospitalization. JAMA 2000;283:2411-2416.
- 11. Liu S, Heaman RNM, Kramer MS, et al. Length of hospital stay. obstetric conditions at childbirth. and maternal readmission: a population-based cohort study. Am J Obstet Cynecol 2002 Sep; 187(3): 181-7.
- 12. Savage W, Susun B, Jayne C. Perinatal mortality Trends Med in Society 1979;5:20-26.
- 13. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2000. Dhsspub No 9 Phs) 91-500212, Washington Dc, 1991.
- 14. World Health Organization. Appropriate technology for birth. Lancet 1985;2:436-437.
- 15. Morrison JJ, Rennice JM, Milton PJ. Neonatal respiratory morbidity and mode of delivery at term: Influence of timing of elective caesarean section. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1995;102:101-106.
- Annibale DJ, Huisey TC, Wagner cL, et al. Comparative neonatal morbidity of adbominal and vaginal deliveries after uncomplicated pregnancies. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1995;149:862-867.
- 17. Hook B, Kiwi R, Amini SB, et al. Neonatal morbidity after elective repeat caesarean section and trial of labor. Pediatrics 1997;100:348-353.
- 18. Smith JF, Hernandez C, Wax JR. Fetal laceration injury at caesarean delivery. Obstet Gynecol 1997; 90:344-346.
- 19. Heritage CK, Cunningham MD. Association of elective repeat caesarean delivery and persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1985;152:627-629.
- 20. Rosenbenberg AA, Kennaugh JM, Moreland SG, et al. Longitudinal follow up of a cohort of newborn infants treated with inhaled nitric oxide for persistent pulmonary hypertension. J Pediatr 1997;131:70-75.
- 21. Murch SH. Toll of allergy reduced by probiotics. Lancet 2001;357:1057:1058.
- 22. Cunningham FG, Gant NF, Leveno Kj, et al. Williams obstetrics. 21 st ed. New York: McGraw-Hill 2001, Vol 1: 538-41.

Archive of SID

- 23. Thomas J, Paranjothy S, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Clinical Effectiveness Support Unite. The national cesarean section audit report. London: Royal College of Obstetrician and Gynecologists Press; 2002.
- 24. Murphy DJ, Liebling RE. Cohort study of maternal views on future mode of delivery after operative delivery in the second stage labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003; 188(2): 542-8.
- 25. Nielsen TF, Olausson PO, Ingemarsson I. the cesarean section rate in Sweden: the end of the rise. Birth 1994 Mar; 21(1): 34-8.
- 26. Menard MK. Cesarean delivery rates in the United States. The 1990, S. Obstet Gyncol Clin North Am 1999 Jun; 26(2): 275-86.
- 27. Serevidya S, Sathiyasekaran BW. High caesarean rates in Madras (India): a population-based cross section. BJOG 2003 Feb; 110(2); 10-11.
- 28. Ntzon FO, Placek P, Taffel S, et al. Comparison of national caesarean-section rates. N Engl J Med 1987; 316(7): 386-89.

\mathcal{C}	, ()			
		.()		.29
8 7		.1381		
			.79_74 :(1380)
: .				.30

.1379