


*

/// : - /// :



II (MOD) II

(Tetric flow (

%

(°c °c)

%

(P<0.05)

(P<0.05)

/ /

II

() % /

Flowable

()

()

()

Flowable

()

(Internal spliting factor)

Scotch Bond MP

MOD

Panavia f

()

% /

Pekatray (Bayer Dental , DSO90 , Levre Kusen)

%

%

()

(%)

(%)

()



Syntac (Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstien)

Degufil (Degussa AG, Gesch of tsbereich Dental
D-63403 Hanau, Germany)

; flowable

Tetric flow (Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstien)

Toffeemire (Teledyne Dental
Products, ELK Grove Village, Illinois 600071)

Oralloy (Colten AG Feld wiesenstrasse 20, CH – 9450
A Ltstatten/switzerland)

A : B

Copalite (Cooley t Cooley LTd, Huston, Ty 77041 ,
Tetric flow OSA)

A

A : C

C : D

C

C B A

H G F E

D

°C %

Load Cycling

(°C °C) /

Instron (Instron Crop, Canton, England 02021 – 1089)

MPT		+	MOD	A
MPV		+	MOD	B
MT			MOD	C
MV			MOD	D
MCPT		+	+ (MOD – CR)	E
MCPV		+	(MOD – CR)	F
MCT			(MOD – CR)	G
MCV			(MOD – CR)	H

MOD : A

(Mid west Dental Products Crop, Deplains , IL


60018 – 1884)

(Union Broach Co. Inc. Long LSL, City, N.Y, 11101 ,
Switzerland)

Swedent (Swedish Dental Simplies AB, S-2302
AKAPR)


Duncan

() (P<0.05)



) ANOVA .

MOD MOD
(P < 0.05)
(
(P < 0.05) MOD



(Reichert Jung serostar, Lecia, Milton Keynes, UK)

(Cohesive A)

(Cohesive T)

(Adhesive)

(Mixed)

()

-)

MOD MOD



()

()

%

()

()

Leevailog

() Edward Jeffery Stephen

() Robbins Wakefield Bagley

(flowable)

()

()

%

%

MOD

	T	A	A	
				MPT
				MPV
				MT
				MV
				MCPT
				MCPV
				MCT
				MCV
% /	%	% /	% /	

Archive of SID



()

(MOD MOD)
(-)

()

MOD MOD)
()

P<0.05

()

MOD MOD)
(MOD MOD)


MOD

Reeh Assif Gorfil
()

%

()

Archive of SID



1. Santos AC, Meier JC. Fracture resistance of premolars with MOD amalgam restoration lined with Amalgam Bond. *Oper Dent* 1989; 19: 2-6.
2. Bagley A, Wakefield CW & Robbins JW. In vitro comparison of filled and unfilled universal bonding agents of amalgam to dentin. *Oper Dent* 1994; 19: 97-101.
3. Miller B, Chan DCN, Cardenas HL & Summit JB. Powder additive affect on shear bond strength of bonded amalgam. Abstract 1346. *J Dent Res* 1998; 77 (special issue A) 274.
4. Evans DB, Neme AM. Shear bond strength of composite resin and amalgam adhesive system to dentin. *Am J Dent* 1999; 12: 19-25.
5. Bailey R & Boyer D. Influence of bonding on fracture resistance of class I amalgam restorations. *J Dent Res* 1997; 76: Special issue (Abs # 430) 67.
6. de Freitas CRB, Miranda MIS, de Andrade MF, Flores VHO, Vas LG & Guimaraes NC. Resistance to maxillary premolars fractures after restoration of class II preparations with resin composite or ceromer. *Quintessence Int* 2002; 33: 589-94.
7. Dias de Souza GMD, Pereira GDS, Dias CTS & Paulillo LAMS. Fracture resistance of teeth restored with the bonded amalgam technique. *Oper Dent* 2001; 26: 511-15.
8. Hansen EK, Asmussen E & Christensen NC. In vivo fractures of endodontically treated posterior teeth restored with amalgam. *Endod Dent Traumatol* 1990; 6: 49-55.
9. Hansen EK & Asmussen E. In vivo fractures of endodontically treated teeth restored with enamel-bonded resin. *Endod Dent Traumatol* 1990; 6: 218-25.
10. Gruythysen RJM, Kreulen CM, Tobi H, Van Amerongen WE & Akerboom HBM. 15 -Year evaluation of class II amalgam restorations. *Commnity Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1996; 24: 207-10.
11. Chuang SF, Liu JK & Jin YT. Microleakage of internal voids in class II composite restorations with flowable composite linings. *Oper Dent* 2001; 26: 193-200.
12. Reeh ES, Messer HH & Douglas WH. Reduction in tooth stiffness as an endodontic and restorative procedure. *J Endod* 1989; 15: 512-16.
13. Breeding LC & Dixon DL. The curing potential of light activated composite resin luting agent. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991; 65: 512-18.
14. Leevailog C, Cochran MA, Matis BA, Moore BK & platt JA. Microleakage of Posterior Packable resin composites with and without flowable liners. *Oper Dent* 2001; 26: 302-7.
15. Stephen G, Jeffry Y & Edward J. A characterization of first generation flowable composite. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1998; 129: 567-77.
16. Mendoza DB, Eakle WS, Kahi A & Ho R. Root reinforcement with a resin bonded performed post. *J Prosthet Dent* 1997; 78: 10-15.
17. Donald HL, Jeansonne BG, Gardiner DM & Sarkar NK. Influence of dental adhesives and a prefabricated post on fracture resistance of silver amalgam cores. *J Prosthet Dent* 1997; 77: 17-22.

18. Koc C, Chu CS, Chang KH. Effect of posts on dentin stress distribution in teeth. *J Prosthet Dent* 1992; 68: 421-27.
19. Christensen GJ. Posts: Necessary or unnecessary? *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1996; 127: 1397-98.
20. Winkler MM, Rhodes B & Moore BK. Retentive strength of an amalgam bonding agent: chemical vs light vs Dual curing. *Oper Dent* 2000; 25: 505-11.
21. Setcos JC, Staninec & Wilson NHF. Bonding of amalgam restorations: Existing knowledge and future prospect. *Oper Dent* 2000; 25: 121-29.
22. Stampalia LL, Nicholls JI, Burdvik JS & Jones DW. Fracture resistance of teeth with resin bonded restorations. *J Prosthet Dent* 1986; 55: 694-98.
23. Setcos JC, Tanirec M & Wilson NHF. A two – year randomized controlled clinical evaluation of bonded amalgam restorations. *J Adhes Dent* 1999; 1: 323-31.
24. Assif D, Gorfil C. Biomechanical considerations in restoring endodontically treated teeth. *J Prosthet Dent* 1994; 71: 565-67.
25. Hakimeh S, Vaidyanathan J, Houpt ML, Vaidyanathan TK & Von Hagen S. Microleakage of compomer class V restorations: Effect of load cycling, thermal cycling, and cavity shape difference. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000; 83: 194-203.

Archive of SID