

. : • [] • .

farshid@um.ac.ir

.



$$K_i = \frac{Ebh^2}{72\pi f(\eta_i)} \tag{)}$$

$$E \qquad h \qquad b \qquad a_i \qquad \eta_i = a_i / h$$

:
$$f(\eta_i)$$

:

 $y(x,t) = Z(x)\cos(\omega t)$:

:

$$f(\eta_i) = 0.6384(\eta_i)^2 - 1.035(\eta_i)^3 + 3.7201(\eta_i)^4 - 5.1774(\eta_i)^5 + 7.553(\eta_i)^6 - 7.3324(\eta_i)^7 + 2.4909(\eta_i)^8$$
()

[]. : $\eta_i \leq 0.6$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[EI \frac{\partial^2 y(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \right] + \rho A \frac{\partial^2 y(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = 0$$
()

$$\theta = \frac{dZ}{dx} \qquad Z \qquad \qquad \cdot \qquad p^4 = \frac{\rho A \omega^2}{EI}$$
$$i - 1 \quad i \qquad \qquad V = EI \frac{d^3 Z}{dx^3} \qquad M = EI \frac{d^2 Z}{dx^2}$$

$$A_{i} = \frac{\cos(pl_{i}) + \cosh(pl_{i})}{2}, \qquad B_{i} = \frac{\sin(pl_{i}) + \sinh(pl_{i})}{2p}$$

$$C_{i} = \frac{-[\cos(pl_{i}) - \cosh(pl_{i})]}{2p^{2}}, \qquad D_{i} = \frac{-[\sin(pl_{i}) - \sinh(pl_{i})]}{2p^{3}}$$
()

i l_i

...

$$\begin{aligned} H_{11}^{1} &= p^{4}C_{1}C_{2} + p^{4}B_{1}D_{2} + A_{1}A_{2} + p^{4}D_{1}B_{2}, & H_{11}^{2} = EIp^{4}A_{1}D_{2} \\ H_{12}^{1} &= p^{4}D_{1}C_{2} + p^{4}C_{1}D_{2} + B_{1}A_{2} + A_{1}B_{2}, & H_{12}^{2} = EIp^{4}B_{1}D_{2} \\ H_{21}^{1} &= p^{4}C_{1}B_{2} + p^{4}B_{1}C_{2} + p^{4}A_{1}D_{2} + p^{4}D_{1}A_{2}, & H_{21}^{2} = EIp^{4}A_{1}C_{2} \end{aligned}$$

:

www.SID.ir

:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{22}^{1} &= p^{4}D_{1}B_{2} + p^{4}C_{1}C_{2} + p^{4}B_{1}D_{2} + A_{1}A_{2}, \qquad H_{22}^{2} = EIp^{4}B_{1}C_{2} \\ L_{1} & () & A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}, D_{1} (i = 1, 2) \\ \beta & L_{2} = (1 - \beta)L & L_{1} = \beta L & l, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & : \\ 4(1 + \cosh\lambda\cos\lambda) + \frac{\lambda}{K} \{\sinh\lambda(\cos\lambda + \cos\lambda e) - \sin\lambda(\cosh\lambda + \cosh\lambda e) + 2\cosh(\lambda\beta)\sin(\lambda\beta) & () \\ - 2\cos(\lambda\beta)\sinh(\lambda\beta) - 2\sin[\lambda(1 - \beta)]\cosh[\lambda(1 - \beta)] + 2\cos[\lambda(1 - \beta)]\sinh[\lambda(1 - \beta)]\} = 0 & () \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & : \\ 4\sin\lambda\sinh\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{K} \{\sinh\lambda(\cos\lambda - \cos\lambda e) - \sin\lambda(\cosh\lambda - \cosh\lambda e)\} = 0 & () \\ \vdots & L & \lambda = pL, \ \beta = L_{1}/L, \ e = 2\beta - 1, \ \overline{K} = KL/(EI) \\ & (1/\overline{K}) & () \\ \end{vmatrix}$$

$$() () () \\ \vdots & . & () \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \mu = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} EI(x) \left(\frac{d^{2}Z}{dx^{2}} \right)^{2} dx \\ = \frac{U}{V} & () \end{aligned}$$

¹ Transfer Matrix Method

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} EI(x) \left(\frac{d^{2}Z}{dx^{2}} \right)^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi \, dx$$

$$\psi = EI \left(\frac{d^{2}Z}{dx^{2}} \right)^{2}$$

$$V \qquad U()$$

$$\frac{\Delta \psi}{\mu} = \frac{\Delta U}{U} - \frac{\Delta V}{V} \qquad ()$$

$$S = 0.$$

$$S = 0.$$

$$S = 0.$$

$$S = 1$$

$$\Delta U = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} S \psi \, dx \qquad ()$$

$$\vdots \qquad ()$$

$$\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{S \psi \, dx}{dx} \qquad ()$$

$$\vdots \qquad S_{i} \qquad i \qquad m$$

$$\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{I_{0}} \int_{u}^{L} \psi \, dxS_{i} \qquad ()$$

...

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ &$$

$$= (n\pi)^2 \sqrt{\frac{EI}{\rho AL^4}}$$

[]

 ω_n

SAP84

.

[]

.

•

.



¹ Pseudo-inverse

.

.

•

•

:

:

0}:

:

()

 $\{S\} = \{S_1, S_3, S_5\}^T$ $= \{-0.00899, 0.04295, 0.06309\}^{T}$

> $\{S\} = \{S_3, S_5\}^T$ $= \{0.04153, 0.06108\}^{T}$.

()

S

:

:

$$\{S_3, S_5\} = \{0.04153,$$

S

$$\frac{\Delta\omega_{1}}{\omega_{1}} = 0.20763\%, \qquad \frac{\Delta\omega_{2}}{\omega_{2}} = 0.40186\%, \qquad \frac{\Delta\omega_{3}}{\omega_{3}} = 0.20763\%, \qquad ()$$

() $\{S_3, S_5\} = \{0, 0.06108\}$

.

$$\frac{\Delta \omega_{1}}{\omega_{1}} = 0.591107\%, \quad \frac{\Delta \omega_{2}}{\omega_{2}} = 0.074266\%, \quad \frac{\Delta \omega_{3}}{\omega_{3}} = 0.459497\%, \quad ()$$
()

()

 β
...
()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

.

.

...



[]

()

www.SID.ir

•

 $\beta = 0.5 - 0.06 = 0.44$ $\beta = 0.5 + 0.06 = 0.56$

[]

1%

2%

] . 13% [] Maiti Patil

2%

[]

- [1] Sekhar, A. S., "Vibration Characteristics of a Cracked Rotor with Two Open Cracks," J. of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 223 (4), pp. 497–512, (1999).
- [2] Ruotolo, R., and Surace, C., "Damage Assessment of Multiple Cracked Beams: Numerical Results and Experimental Validation," J. of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 206 (4), pp. 567–588, (1997.(
- [3] Liang, R. Y., Hu, J., and Choy, F. K., "Quantitative NDE Technique for Assessing Damage in Beam Structures," Journal of Engineering Mechanics, Vol. 118 (7), pp. 1468–1487, (1992.(
- [4] Hu, J., and Liang, R. Y., "An Integrated Approach to Detection of Cracks using Vibration Characteristics," J. Franklin Inst., Vol. 330 (5), pp. 841–853, (1993.(
 a. [^{*}]
- [5] Choy, F. K., Liang, R., and Xu, P., "Fault Identification in Beams on Elastic Foundation," Comput. Geotech., Vol. 17 (2), pp. 157–176, (1995.(
 a. [^Δ]
- [6] Zheng, D. Y., and Fan, S. C., "Natural Frequencies of a Non-Uniform Beam with Multiple Cracks via Modified Fourier Series," J. of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 242 (4), pp. 701–717, (2001.(
 a. [⁵]
- [7] Zheng, D. Y., and Fan, S. C., "Natural Frequency Changes of a Cracked Timoshenko Beam by Modified Fourier Series," Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 246 (2), pp. 297–317, (2001.(
 - a. [^{\/}]
- [8] Patil, D. P., and Maiti, S. K., "Detection of Multiple Cracks using Frequency Measurements," Engineering Fracture Mechanics, Vol. 70, pp. 1553-1572, (2003.(
 a. [^A]
- [9] Cawley, P., and Adams, R. D., "The Location of Defects in Structures from Measurements of Natural Frequencies," J. Strain Anal., Vol. 14 (2), pp. 49–57, (1979.(
 a. [⁴]

- [10] Ostachowicz, W. M., and Krawczuk, M., "Analysis of the Effect of Cracks on the Natural Frequencies of a Cantilever Beam," J. Sound and Vibration, Vol. 150, pp. 191–201, (1991.)
 - i. [``]
- [11] Tada, H., Paris, P. C., and Irwin, G. R., "The Stress Analysis of Cracks Handbook," Third ed., ASME Press, Professional Engineering Publishing, New York, (2000.)
 - i. [\\]
- [12] Atluri, S. N., "Computational Methods in the Mechanics of Fracture," Amsterdam, North-Holland, (1986.(

i. [17]

[13] Anifantis, N., and Dimarogonas, A. D., "Stability of Columns with a Single Crack Subjected to Follower and Vertical Loads," International Journal of Solids Structures, Vol. 19 (3), pp. 281–291, (1983.(

i. [17]



•••

$$()$$

$$[]:$$

$$\Delta U = \frac{1}{E} \int_{0}^{A} K_{I}^{2} dA \qquad ()$$

$$A \qquad E \qquad K_{I} \qquad ()$$

$$K_{I} = \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} g(a/h) = 0.13 - 1.374(a/h) + 5.749(a/h)^{2} - 4.464(a/h)^{3} \qquad ()$$

$$\eta = a/h \qquad dA = b da \qquad \sigma = 6M/b h^{2} \qquad \Delta U = M_{I}^{2}/2K_{I}:$$

$$K_{I} = Eb h^{2}/72\pi f(\eta) \qquad ()$$

$$f(\eta) = 0.6384(\eta)^{2} - 1.035(\eta)^{3} + 3.7201(\eta)^{4} - 5.1774(\eta)^{5} \qquad ()$$

$$+ 7.553(\eta)^{6} - 7.3324(\eta)^{7} + 2.4909(\eta)^{8} \qquad ()$$

					<i>rad</i> /sec.							
eta_1	a_1/h	eta_2	a_2/h		ω_1	ω_2	ω_{3}	\mathcal{O}_4	ω_5			
					59.007	236.029	531.065	944.116	1475.182			
0.25	0.07971	0.45	0.0096	[4]	58.625	235.142	528.096	942.515	1469.103			
			0.0980		58.531	234.928	527.368	942.124	1467.620			

•••

 $E = 2.8 \times 10^{10} N / m^2$, $\rho = 2350 kg / m^3$, L = 10m, h = 0.6m, B = 0.2m

	rad/sec.											
	a/h	ω_1	ω_2	ω_{3}		%		a/h	%			
		59.007	236.029	531.065								
0.25	0.0797	58.884	235.080	529.962	0.25	0.0	243.8	0.079	0.6			
	[8]	58.915	235.314	530.233	0.25	0.0	320.7	0.069	13.4			
				(
0.45	0.0986	58.658	235.854	528.625	0.444	1.3	161.5	0.098	0.5			
	[8]	58.719	235.884	529.051	0.443	1.6	195.6	0.089	9.7			

rad/sec.										
	a/h	$\omega_{_{\rm I}}$	ω_2	ω_{3}			%		a/h	%
		59.007	236.029	531.065						
0.25	0.5	53.897	204.512	502.072	(0.25	0.0	7.996	0.4194	16
	Ķ									



I

 $\beta = 0.45, a/h = 0.0986$



...

Abstract

The development of nondestructive techniques for assessment of the state of crack-induced damages in structural components is very important in recent years. This is particularly true when considering that large amounts of resources have been spent on repair and rehabilitation of structures, such as highway bridges, airport runways, water-treatment facilities, etc.

In this article a physical model using a massless rotational spring to represent the crackinduced local flexibility is adopted as a basis for developing a method for detection of multiple open cracks in a Euler-Bernoulli Beam. The beam divided into a number of segments and each of them is considered to be associated with a quantitative damage index. The procedure gives a linear relationship explicitly between the changes in natural frequencies of the beam and the damage parameters. This linear relation is formulated via an influence matrix H. The elements of the H matrix can be determined from the modal shapes of the undamaged beam. Damage index matrix S can be solved by the resulting system of linear algebraic equations. Usually in driven linear algebraic equations the number of unknowns is greater than the number of equations and pseudo-inverse technique is necessary to solve the system of equations. In this article the method of solving these equations promoted and therefore position and size of cracks can be predicted faster and sharper than other methods that mentioned in references [3] and [8]. After obtaining damage indexes, each is treated in turn to exactly pinpoint the crack location in the segment and determine its size. The forward, or natural frequency determination problems, are discussed. The numbers of segments into which the beam is virtually divided limits the maximum number of cracks that can be handled. Case studies (numerical) are presented to demonstrate the method effectiveness for two simultaneous cracks and one large crack. The differences between the simulated and predicted crack locations and sizes are less than 2% and 1% respectively.