

Tarbiat Moallem University, 20<sup>th</sup> Seminar on Algebra,  
2-3 Ordibehesht, 1388 (Apr. 22-23, 2009) pp 8-10

## CLEANNES AND SHELLABILITY

Ali Soleyman Jahan

Department of Mathematics University of Kurdistan  
416, Sanandaj, Iran  
A.solaimanjahan@uok.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. We study some basic property of cleanness. We show that if  $R$  is a Noetherian ring and  $M$  is an almost clean  $R$ -module with the property that  $R/P$  is Cohen–Macaulay for any  $P \in \text{Ass}(M)$ , then  $\text{depth}(M) = \min\{\dim(R/P) : p \in \text{Ass}(M)\}$ . Using this fact show that if  $M$  is a clean  $R$ -module and all minimal prime ideals of  $M$  are Cohen–Macaulay and have equal height, then  $M$  is Cohen–Macaulay. We also discuss the relation between cleanness and shellability and give a simple proof for a theorem of Dress. Finally we give an easy proof for the well known fact that a pure shellable simplicial complex is Cohen–Macaulay.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. A chain  $\mathcal{F}: (0) = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$  of submodules of  $M$  is called a prime filtration of  $M$ , if for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , there exists a prime ideal  $P_i \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/P_i$ . If  $M$  is finitely generated such a prime filtration of  $M$  always exists. The set of prime ideals  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  which define the cyclic quotients of  $\mathcal{F}$  will be denoted by  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ . It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a prime filtration of  $M$ , then  $\text{Ass}(M) \subset \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Supp}(M)$ .

Dress [3] called the prime filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  *clean* if  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Min}(M)$ . The  $R$ -module  $M$  is called clean if it has a clean filtration.

Herzog and Popescu [4] generalized this concept and they called a prime filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  *pretty clean*, if for all  $i < j$  which  $P_i \subseteq P_j$  it follows that  $P_i = P_j$ . The  $R$ -module  $M$  is called pretty clean if it admits a pretty clean filtration. It follows from [4, Corollary 3.4] that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a pretty clean filtration of  $M$ , then  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Ass}(M)$ . The converse of the above fact is not true, see [4, Example 4.4]. We call an  $R$ -module  $M$  *almost clean* if it admits a prime filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  with

$$\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Ass}(M).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\text{clean} \Rightarrow \text{pretty clean} \Rightarrow \text{almost clean},$$

and if an  $R$ -module  $M$  has no embedded associated prime ideals, then

$$M \text{ is clean} \Leftrightarrow M \text{ is pretty clean} \Leftrightarrow M \text{ is almost clean.}$$

Let  $K$  be a field and  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables. Let  $I$  be a monomial ideal in  $S$ . We say that  $I$  is (pretty) clean if  $S/I$  is (pretty) clean. Cleanness is the algebraic counterpart of shellability for simplicial complexes.

A *simplicial complex*  $\Delta$  over a set of vertices  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  is a collection of subsets of  $[n]$  with the property that  $i \in \Delta$  for all  $i \in [n]$ , and if  $F \in \Delta$ , then all the subsets of  $F$  are also in

$\Delta$  (including the empty set). An element of  $\Delta$  is called a *face* of  $\Delta$ , and the maximal faces of  $\Delta$  under inclusion are called *facets*. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  the set of facets of  $\Delta$ . The *dimension* of a face  $F$  is defined as  $\dim F = |F| - 1$ , where  $|F|$  is the number of vertices of  $F$ . The dimension of the simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is the maximal dimension of its facets. A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is called *pure* if all facets of  $\Delta$  have the same dimension. We denote the simplicial complex  $\Delta$  with facets  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  by  $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_t \rangle$ .

If  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex on vertex set  $[n]$ , then the Stanley–Reisner ideal,  $I_\Delta$ , is a squarefree monomial ideal generated by all monomials  $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_t}$  such that  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t\} \notin \Delta$ . If  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F_1, \dots, F_t\}$ , then  $I_\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{F_i}$ , where  $P_{F_i} = (x_j : j \notin F_i)$ , see [1, Theorem 5.4.1]. We say the simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay if  $S/I_\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay ring.

According to Björner and Wachs [2] an order  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  of the facets of  $\Delta$  is called a (non-pure) shelling of  $\Delta$  if the simplicial complex  $\langle F_1, \dots, F_{i-1} \rangle \cap \langle F_i \rangle$  is pure and  $(\dim F_i - 1)$ -dimensional for all  $i = 2, \dots, t$ . Given a shelling  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  of  $\Delta$ . We denote by  $\Delta_i$  the simplicial complex with facets  $F_1, \dots, F_i$ . We follow the notation in [2] and define the restriction of facet  $F_k$  by

$$R(F_k) = \{i \in F_k : F_k \setminus \{i\} \in \Delta_{k-1}\}.$$

Then  $R(F_k) \subset F_k$  is the unique minimal face which is not in  $\Delta_{k-1}$ , see [2, lemma 2.4]. In an other words

$$\langle F_k \rangle \setminus \Delta_{k-1} = [R(F_k), F_k] = \{B : R(F_k) \subset B \subset F_k\}.$$

Therefore the simplicial complex  $\Delta$  splits up into disjoint union Boolean intervals  $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^t [R(F_i), F_i]$ .

It is easy to see from the definition of Stanley–Reisner ideal the dicution above that

$$I_{\Delta_{k-1}} = (I_{\Delta_k}, u)_{\text{supp}(u) \in (F_k \setminus \Delta_{k-1})} = (I_{\Delta_k}, X_{R(F_k)}), \quad \text{where } X_{R(F_k)} = \prod_{j \in R(F_k)} x_j$$

In Section 1 we give an upper bound for the depth of an  $R$ -module  $M$  in terms of the minimum of  $\dim(R/P)$ , where  $P \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a prime filtration of  $M$ . Then we determine the depth of an almost clean  $R$ -module. We also show that if  $M$  is a clean  $R$ -module and for all  $P \in \text{Min}(M)$ ,  $R/P$  is Cohen–Macaulay, then  $M$  is Cohen–Macaulay. In Section 2 we give a easy proof for a Theorem of Dress. Then as a corollary we get the well known fact that if  $\Delta$  is a pure shellable simplicial complex, then  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay.

## 2. DEPTH OF CLEAN MODULES

Let  $R$  be a Notherian ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. First we show the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\mathcal{F}$  is prime filtration of  $M$ . Suppose that  $R/P$  is a Cohen–Macaulay ring for all  $P \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ . Then*

$$\text{depth}(M) \geq \min\{\dim(R/P) : P \in \text{Supp} \mathcal{F}\}.$$

It is well known that for an  $R$ -module  $M$  one has  $\text{depth}(M) \leq \min\{\dim(R/P) : P \in \text{Ass}(M)\}$ . If we combine this fact with Theorem 2.1 we get

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be an almost clean  $R$ -module with the property that  $R/P$  is Cohen–Macaulay for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(M)$ . Then*

$$\text{depth}(M) = \min\{\dim(R/P) : P \in \text{Ass}(M)\}.$$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a clean  $R$ -module with the property that all prime ideals in  $\text{Min}(M)$  have the same height and  $R/P$  is Cohen–Macaulay for all  $P \in \text{Min}(M)$ . Then  $M$  is Cohen–Macaulay.*

### 3. THE RELATION BETWEEN CLEANNESS AND SHELLABILITY

The following fact was proved by Dress [3]. Here we present an easy proof for it.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex with facets  $F_1, \dots, F_t$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *An order  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  of facets of  $\Delta$  is a shelling of  $\Delta$  ;*
- (b)  *$\mathcal{F}: I = I_\Delta \subset I_{\Delta_{t-1}} \subset \dots \subset I_{\Delta_1} \subset I_{\Delta_0} = S$  is a clean filtration of  $S/I_\Delta$  with  $I_{\Delta_{i-1}}/I_{\Delta_i} \cong S/P_{F_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .*

If we combine Theorem 3.1 with Corollary 2.3 we get

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a pure shellable simplicial complex. Then  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay.*

### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] A. Björner, M. L. Wachs, Shellable nonpure complexes and posets, I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348** (1996), no. 4, 1299–1327.
- [3] A. Dress, A new algebraic criterion for shellability. *Beiträge zur Alg. und Geom.* **34**(1) (1993), 45–55.
- [4] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, Finite filtrations of modules and shellable multicomplexes. *Manuscripta Math.* **121** (2006), no. 3, 385–410.