Tarbiat Moallem University, 20<sup>th</sup> Seminar on Algebra, 2-3 Ordibehesht, 1388 (Apr. 22-23, 2009) pp 178-181

# ON CONNECTIONS BETWEEN ROUGH SET THEORY AND MV-ALGEBRAS

#### SAEED RASOULI

Department of Mathematics, Yazd university, Yazd, Iran srasouli@yazduni.ac.ir (Joint work with B. Davvaz)

ABSTRACT. In this talk, by considering the notion of MV-algebra, we are concerned with a relationship between rough set and MV-algebra theory. We shall introduce the notion of rough subalgebra (resp. ideal) with respect to an ideal of an MV-algebra, which is an extended notion of subalgebra (resp. ideal) in an MV-algebra. Also we shall give some properties of the lower and the upper approximations in an MV-algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of rough sets was proposed by Pawlak [4] in 1982. The theory of rough sets is an extension of set theory, in which a subset of a universe is described by a pair of ordinary sets called the lower and upper approximations. Davvaz [3], introduced the notion of rough subrings (respectively ideal) with respect to an ideal of a ring, also see [2]

C. C. Chang in [1] introduced the notion of MV-algebra to provide an algebraic proof of the completeness theorem of infinite valued Lukasiewicz propositional calculus. An MV-algebra A is an abelian monoid  $\langle A, 0, \oplus \rangle$ equipped with an operation \* such that  $(x^*)^* = x, x \oplus 0^* = 0^*$  and finally,  $(x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y = (y^* \oplus x)^* \oplus x$ . If we define the constant  $1 := 0^*$  and the auxiliary operations  $\odot$ ,  $\lor$ , and  $\land$  by  $a \odot b := (a^* \oplus b^*)^*$ ,  $a \lor b := a \oplus (b \odot a^*)$ and  $a \wedge b := a \odot (b \oplus a^*)$ , then  $(M, \odot, 1)$  is a commutative monoid and the structure  $(M, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$  is a bounded distributive lattice. Also, we define the binary operation  $\ominus$  by  $x \ominus y := x \odot y^*$ . Now, if we define  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \wedge y = x$  for each  $x, y \in M$ , then according to [1],  $\leq$  is an order relation over M. If the order relation  $\leq$  defined over M, is total, then we say that M is linearly ordered. We write nx instead of  $x \oplus \cdots \oplus x(n-times)$ . Also, we define the order of an element x, denoted by ord(x), is the least integer m such that mx = 1. If no such integer m exists then we write  $ord(x) = \infty$ . We say MV-algebra M is *locally finite* if and only if, every element of M different from 0 has a finite order. Let X be a subset of an MV-algebra M. Chang in [1], has shown that every locally finite MV-algebra is linearly ordered. As usual, we say that X is

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 06D35.

**keywords and phrases:** Rough sets, Lower approximation, Upper approximation, MV-algebra, subalgebra, Rough subalgebra.

ON CONNECTIONS BETWEEN ROUGH SET THEORY AND MV-ALGEBRAS 179

an MV-subalgebra (for short, subalgebra) of M if and only if X is closed under the MV-operations defined in M. In an MV-algebra M, the distance function is defined by  $d: M \times M \longrightarrow M$ , where  $d(a,b) := (a^* \odot b) \oplus (b^* \odot a)$ . Let M be an MV-algebra and I a nonempty subset of M. Then we say that I is an ideal if the following conditions are satisfied: (1)  $0 \in I$ , (2)  $x, y \in I$  imply  $x \oplus y \in I$ , and (3)  $x \in I$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $y \in I$ . A proper ideal  $P \in I(M)$ is called *prime* whenever  $x \wedge y \in P$ , then either  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ . The set of all prime ideals of an MV-algebra M shall be denoted by spec(M). Let Mbe an MV-algebra and I is an ideal of M. Then the relation was induced by I, defined as:  $x \sim_I y \equiv d(x,y) \in I$  is a congruence relation. The class of equivalence relation of  $x \in M$  respected to I is denoted by  $[x]_I$ . Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra and X a subset of M. Then X is called *convex* if for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \in M, x \leq z \leq y$  implies  $z \in X$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** Let I be an ideal of a linearly ordered MV-algebra M. Then  $[x]_I$  is convex for each  $x \in M$ .

A pair  $(U,\theta)$ , where  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $\theta$  is an equivalence relation on U, is called an approximation space. For an approximation space  $(U,\theta)$ , by a rough approximation in  $(U,\theta)$  we mean a mapping  $Apr : P(U) \longrightarrow P(U) \times P(U)$  defined for every  $X \in P(U)$  by  $Apr(X) = (\underline{Apr}(X), \overline{Apr}(X))$ , where  $\overline{Apr}(X) =$  $\{x \in U : [x]_{\theta} \subseteq X\}, \underline{Apr}(X) = \{x \in U : [x]_{\theta} \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$ .  $\underline{Apr}(X)$ , where  $[x]_{\theta}$  is the equivalence class of x, is called a lower rough approximation of X in  $(U,\theta)$ . Also,  $\overline{Apr}(X)$  is called upper rough approximation of X in  $(U,\theta)$ . If  $\underline{Apr}(X) = \overline{Apr}(X)$ , then X is called definable with respect to theta. If  $Apr(X) = \emptyset$ , then X is called empty interior respect to  $\theta$ .

## 2. Main results

Throughout this paper M is an MV-algebra. Let I be an ideal of M and X be a nonempty subset of M. Then the sets  $\underline{Apr}_{I}(X) = \{x \in M | [x]_{I} \subseteq M\}$  and  $\overline{Apr}_{I}(X) = \{x \in M | [x]_{I} \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$  are called, respectively, lower and upper approximations of the set X with respect to the ideal I.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $S_7 = \{0, 1/7, 2/7, \dots, 6/7, 1\}$ . We define  $p/7 + q/7 := \min\{(p+q)/7, 1\}$  and  $(p/7)^* := (7-p)/7$ , then  $(S_7, +, *, 0)$  is an MV-algebra. Now, let  $\theta$  be an equivalence relation with following equivalence classes:  $E_1 = \{0, 3/7, 4/7\}, E_2 = \{1/7, 6/7\}, E_3 = \{2/7\}, E_4 = \{5/7\}$ . Let  $X := \{2/7, 4/7\}$ , then  $Apr(X) = \{2/7\}$  and  $\overline{Apr}(X) := \{0, 2/7, 3/7, 4/7\}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let I be an ideal of M and X a non-empty set of M. Then  $\overline{Apr}_I(X)^* = \overline{Apr}_I(X^*)$  and  $\underline{Apr}_I(X)^* = \underline{Apr}_I(X^*)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra, I an ideal of M and X a convex subset of M. Then  $\overline{Apr}_{I}(X)$  and  $Apr_{I}(X)$  are convex subsets.

Let X be a non-empty subset of an MV-algebra M, and  $X^{\perp}$  be the annihilator of X in M defined by  $X^{\perp} = \{a \in M : a \land x = 0, \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ . If  $X = \{x\}$ , then we write  $x^{\perp}$  for  $X^{\perp}$ .

180

## SAEED RASOULI

**Proposition 2.4.** Let *I* be an ideal of *M* and *X* a non-empty set of *M*. Then  $\underline{Apr}_{I}(X^{\perp}) \subseteq \underline{Apr}_{I}(X)^{\perp}$ ,  $\overline{Apr}_{I}(X)^{\perp} \subseteq \overline{Apr}_{I}(X^{\perp})$  and  $\overline{Apr}_{I}(X)^{\perp} \subseteq Apr_{I}(X)^{\perp}$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $M = \{0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, 1\}$ . Consider the following tables:

| $\oplus$ | 0     | $x_1$ | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $x_4$ | 1 |   |   |       |         |         |                   |   |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|---|---|-------|---------|---------|-------------------|---|
| 0        | 0     | $x_1$ | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $x_4$ | 1 |   |   |       |         |         |                   |   |
|          |       |       | $x_4$ |       |       |   | * | 0 | $x_1$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{2}$ | $x_4$             | 1 |
| $x_2$    | $x_2$ | $x_4$ | $x_2$ | 1     | $x_4$ | 1 |   | - |       |         | -       | $\frac{x_4}{x_1}$ |   |
| $x_3$    | $x_3$ | $x_3$ | 1     | $x_3$ | 1     | 1 |   | 1 | $x_4$ | $x_3$   | $x_2$   | $x_1$             | 0 |
|          |       |       | $x_4$ |       |       |   |   |   |       |         |         |                   |   |
| 1        | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1 |   |   |       |         |         |                   |   |

Then  $(M, \oplus, *, 0)$  is an MV-algebra. Let  $X = \{0, x_2, x_4, 1\}$  and  $Y = \{0, x_1, x_3\}$  be subsets of M and  $I = \{0, x_2\}$  the ideal of M. It is easy to check that  $X^{\perp} = \{0\}$  and  $Y^{\perp} = \{0, x_2\}$ , so we have  $\underline{Apr}_I(X^{\perp}) = \emptyset$ ,  $\underline{Apr}_I(X)^{\perp} = \{0, x_1\}$ ,  $\overline{Apr}_I(X)^{\perp}) = \{0\}$ ,  $\overline{Apr}_I(Y^{\perp}) = \{0, x_2\}$ , and  $\overline{Apr}_I(Y)^{\perp} = \{0\}$ , so  $\underline{Apr}_I(X)^{\perp} \notin \underline{Apr}_I(X^{\perp})$ ,  $\overline{Apr}_I(Y^{\perp}) \notin \overline{Apr}_I(Y)^{\perp}$  and  $\underline{Apr}_I(X)^{\perp} \notin \overline{Apr}_I(X)^{\perp}$ .

Let X and Y be non-empty subsets of M. Then we have

 $X + Y = \{a \in M : a \le x \oplus y, x \in X, y \in Y\}.$ 

If either X or Y are empty, then we define  $X + Y = \emptyset$ . Clearly, X + Y = Y + X for every  $X, Y \subseteq M$ . If I and J are two subalgebras or ideals of an MV-algebra M, we can show that I + J is the smallest ideal such that contained I and J. In fact I + J is the ideal generated by  $I \cup J$ . Moreover, if I, J and K are three ideals of M such that  $I \subseteq K$  and  $J \subseteq K$  then we obtain  $I + J \subseteq K$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let I be an ideal of an MV-algebra of M and X, Y nonempty subsets of M. Then  $\overline{Apr}_I(X+Y) \subseteq \overline{Apr}_I(X) + \overline{Apr}_I(Y)$ . Particularly, If M is a linearly ordered MV-algebra, then  $\overline{Apr}_I(X+Y) = \overline{Apr}_I(X) + \overline{Apr}_I(Y)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let I be an ideal of MV-algebra M and X non-empty subset of M. Then X is definable if and only if  $Apr_I(X) = X$  or  $\overline{Apr_I}(X) = X$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** Let M be an MV-algebra, I an ideal of M and X, Y subsets of M such that X + I = X or Y + I = Y. Then X + Y is a definable set with respect to I. Particularly, If X is an arbitrary subset of M then X + I is a definable set with respect to I.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let I be an ideal of an MV-algebra of M and X, Y nonempty subsets of M. Then  $Apr_{I}(X) + Apr_{I}(Y) \subseteq Apr_{I}(X+Y)$ .

**Example 2.10.** Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra that it is not locally finite and  $I \neq 0$  be a proper ideal of M. Let  $X = \{0\}$  and  $Y = \{1\}$ . Clearly, X+Y = M so  $Apr_I(X+Y) = M$ , but one can see that  $Apr_I(X) + Apr_I(Y) = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** Let I, J be two ideals of MV-algebra M and X a nonempty subset of M. If  $X \subseteq B(M)$  or M is a linearly ordered MV-algebra, then  $\overline{Apr}_{I+J}(X) \subseteq \overline{Apr}_I(X) + \overline{Apr}_J(X)$ . ON CONNECTIONS BETWEEN ROUGH SET THEORY AND MV-ALGEBRAS 181

**Example 2.12.** Let M be a linearly ordered MV-algebra,  $0 = \{0\}$  the ideal of M and  $t \neq 0$  an element of M such that  $ord(t) \neq 2$ . By Proposition 2.8, t + 0 is a definable set with respect to ideal 0, so by Proposition 3.13, we have  $t + 0 \subseteq t + t + 0$ . Now, we claim that  $t \oplus t \notin t + 0$ . Assume  $t \oplus t \in t + 0$ , so there exists  $s \leq t$  such that  $t \oplus t \leq s$ . We can obtain that t = 0 and it is a contradiction. Hence, it implies that  $\overline{Apr}_I(X) + \overline{Apr}_J(X)$  is not a subset of  $\overline{Apr}_{I+J}(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** Let I, J be two ideals of MV-algebra M and X a nonempty subset of M. Then  $\underline{Apr}_{I+J}(X) \subseteq \underline{Apr}_I(X) + \underline{Apr}_J(X)$ . Furthermore, if  $a \in \underline{Apr}_I(X) + \underline{Apr}_J(X)$  we obtain  $[a]_{I+J} \subseteq \underline{Apr}_I(X) + \underline{Apr}_J(X)$ . Moreover, If X is an ideal of M, we obtain that  $\underline{Apr}_{I+J}(X) = \underline{Apr}_I(X) + \underline{Apr}_J(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** Let X be a non-empty subset of M. Then  $\bigcap_{P \in spec(M)} \underline{Apr}_P(X) = 0$ . Let I, J be two ideals of MV-algebra M and X a non-empty subset of  $\overline{M}$ . If X is an ideal of M and I,  $J \subseteq X$ , or M is a linearly ordered MV-algebra, then  $\underline{Apr}_I(X) \cap \underline{Apr}_J(X) = \underline{Apr}_{I \cap J}(X)$ . If X is definable with respect to I or J, or M a linearly ordered MV-algebra then  $\overline{Apr}_{I \cap J}(X) = \overline{Apr}_I(X) \cap \overline{Apr}_I(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.15.** Let M be an MV-algebra and I an ideal of M. If X is a subalgebra of M, then  $\overline{Apr}_I(X)$  is a subalgebra too. In particular, if M is a linearly ordered MV-algebra and J an ideal of M then  $\overline{Apr}_I(J)$  is an ideal of M.

**Proposition 2.16.** Let I and J be two ideals of M. Then  $\underline{Apr}_{I}(J)$  is an ideal when  $I \subseteq J$  and J is not empty interior. Furthermore, if  $\overline{M}$  is linearly ordered then J is definable or  $Apr_{I}(J) = (0,0)$ .

#### References

- C. C. Chang, Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88, (1958), 467-490.
- [2] S.D. Comer, On connections between information systems, rough sets and algebraic logic, Algebraic Methods in Logic and Computer Science, 28, Banach Center Publications, 1993, pp. 117124.
- [3] B. Davvaz, Roughness in rings, Inform. Sci. 164 (2004) 147163.
- [4] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, Int. J. Inf. Comput. Sci. 11 (1982) 341356.