

Effects of commercial probiotics on production efficiency and chick quality in broilers

Jafar Fakhraei, Hossein Mansoori, Ruzbeh Shabani

Department of Animal Science, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran. j-fakhraei@iau-arak.ac.ir Department of Animal Science, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran. h-mansouri@iau-arak.ac.ir Department of Animal Science, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran. rshabani94@iau-arak.ac.ir

Abstract. In this study, three kinds of commercial probiotics were studied to maximize broiler chickens performance. 160 male broiler plots, commercial strain, 308 vertexes in randomly plan having 4 treatments and 4 replications were divided to 10 groups having similar average weight. Chickens were divided into four groups: 1- control group (without probiotics), 2- experimental group containing Protexin (Pro), 3- experimental group containing Primalac (Pri), and 4-experimental group containing Calciparine (Cal). The effects of probiotics on carcass and some internal organs were measured and results shows that feeding broilers with probiotics have significant effects (P < 0.05) on full carcass weight, empty carcass weight, head weight, neck weight, brain weight and also ileum weight, while it appeared insignificant on other results. According to the results, it can be concluded that feeding chicken broilers with these probiotics have positive effects on growth and carcass traits of chicken broilers. Thus, the use of these probiotics for broiler plots, commercial strain, 308 vertexes is recommended.

Keywords: chick quality, probiotics, commercial strain.

1 Introduction

A number of factors affect productivity in animal husbandry, of which nutrition is probably the most important (Kioumarsi et al, 2008). After the FDA ban on fluoroquinolones from being using in poultry over concerns that it was a driving force behind antibiotic-resistant bacteria, the use of probiotic bacteria has become increasingly popular for improved nutrition. In fact, probiotics are live microorganisms that affect the host animal by improving its intestinal balance. Improve in performance of chicken broiler has been reported with probiotic (Fuller, 2001). Mountzouris et al (2010) evaluated the effect of inclusion levels of a 5-bacterial species probiotic in broiler nutrition and results revealed that probiotic inclusion level had a significant effect on broiler growth responses, apparent digestibility coefficients, and cecal microflora composition. Sojoudi et al (2012) evaluated effect of different Levels of prebiotics on carcass traits of Broiler chickens and results revealed that feeding chicken broilers with these prebiotic have positive effect in some carcass traits. Cavazzoni et al (1998) also evaluated performance of broiler chickens supplemented with Bacillus coagulans as probiotic and found that feeding probiotic supplements increase the growth rate of broilers. Kabir (2009) mentioned that probiotic has a beneficial effect on broiler performance because of

its effects on intestinal microflora and pathogen inhibition. intestinal histological changes, immunomodulation, some heamato-biochemical parameters, improving sensory characteristics of dressed broiler meat and also effecting microbiological meat quality of broilers, but it is described that the main effect of probiotic is in the gastrointestinal tract and associated with its capacity to stimulate the immune response and to control the growth of pathogenic bacteria (Barnes et al,1972; Huang et al, 2004; Mountzouris et al, 2007 and Higgins et al, 2007). There has been others research by scientists to evaluate probiotics on broilers; however, to date, the data is inconclusive. There is therefore a need for research on probiotics. This study was carried out to evaluate effects of three probiotics include; Premalac, Calciporin, and Protexin on broilers performance.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted with 160 chickens broiler were used for this experiment. The ratios were prepared according to need of chicken broilers for three periods include: day 1 to day 14 of the experiment, day 14 to day 28 of the experiment, and day 28 to the end of experiment when chickens slaughtered. The animals were housed in experimental pens and fed two times a day with a basal diet include corn and soybean. Food was



دومین کنفرانس بین المللی مهندسی کشـاورزی و منابع طبیکی Archive of ۲۷ و ۲۸ بهمن ماه ۱۳۹۴ / 16th to 17th February 2016 - Iran, Tehran



offered in the morning and evening and that refused from the previous day was removed before the new meal was given on the following morning. However, for this research four rations were used. The ratios include: (1) basal diet, (2) basal diet+ Premalac, (3) basal diet+ Calciporin, (4) basal diet+ Protexin. A completely randomized design of 4 diets in a 4x4 factorial design was replicated four times. The model was:

 $X_{ij} = M + T_i + E_{ij}$

Where:

- $X_{ij} = Observations$
- M = Treatment average
- $T_i = Effect of the$
- E_{ij} = Experimental error

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results are shown in Table 1. The results revealed that the treatments had significant effects in full carcass weight and empty carcass weight. However, the chicken broilers feed with Protexin, resulted in the most favorable carcass weight while broilers fed with ratios of Premalac and Calciporin were ranked second and third and broilers in control group were ranked forth. Internal organs means are also provided in Table 1. The results showed that the ratio which includes probiotics was associated with the best outcome. The treatments had significant effects (P <0.05) on head weight, neck weight, brain weight and ileum weight. Probiotics once established in the gut, produce substances with bactericidal or bacteriostatic properties (bacteriocins) such as lactoferrin, lysozyme, hydrogen peroxide as well as several organic acids. These substances have a detrimental impact on harmful bacteria and promote a better flora balance, which is primarily due to lowering of the gut pH. A decrease in pH may partially offset the low secretion of hydrochloric acid in the stomach. In addition, competition for energy and nutrients between probiotic and other bacteria may result in suppression of pathogenic species (Kabir et al, 2004 and Javadi et al, 2012). Besides, probiotics are responsible for production of vitamins and digestive enzymes, and for stimulation of intestinal mucosa immunity, increasing protection produced against toxins by pathogenic microorganisms and subsequently improve animal health and growth performance (Fuller, 1989 and Pelicano et al, 2003).



دومین کنفرانس بین المللی مهندسی کشاورزی و منابع کلیکی Archive of دومین کنفرانس بین المللی مهندسی کشاورزی و منابع العکم ۱۳۹۶ و Archive of دومین ماه ۱۳۹۴ العکم ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۸ بهمن ماه ۱۳۹۴ العکم ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۸ بهمن ماه ۱۳۹۴ العکم ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۸ بهمن ماه ۱۳۹۴ العکم ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۰۰۰



				<u> </u>
		Treatments		
Weight (gr)	Con	Pro	Pri	Cal
Full carcass	2275 ± 198^{b}	2658 ± 465^{a}	2641±274 ^a	2580 ± 256^{a}
Empty carcass	1870 ± 180^{b}	2250±450 ^a	2270±200 ^a	2195 ± 210^{a}
Head	63.03±4.10 b	61.50±8.22 a	62.02±9.40 a	55.40±3.51 a
Gizzard	58.22±5.84	61.27±16.5 0	55.19±10.5 5	56.45±9.28
Crop	7.68 ± 1.16	8.07 ± 1.85	7.65 ± 0.60	7.61±1.93
Lung	11.01±0.53	11.31±2.09	10.77±1.99	8.40 ± 3.37
Bursa fabricius	1.94±0.45 ^b	4.87 ± 1.81^{a}	3.53±1.06 ^{ab}	2.78±1.20 ^b
Brain	$3.19{\pm}0.23^{a}$	$2.84{\pm}0.08^{ab}$	3.13 ± 0.32^{ab}	2.73±0.36 ^b
Testicles	0.800±0.31 3	0.855±0.31 8	0.770±0.28 9	0.635±0.09 4
Pancreas	6.50 ± 0.57	6.39±1.01	6.26±0.75	6.94±0.73
Duodenum	13.83±0.76	18.52±6.02	15.59±3.02 a	14.09±1.60
Ileum	9.20 ± 2.40^{b}	17.62±4.85 a	14.22±3.44 ab	12.50±1.73
Colon	1.96 ± 0.55	1.86 ± 0.48	1.55 ± 0.42	1.63 ± 0.25
Rectum	1.82 ± 0.20	1.98±0.36	2.13±0.58	2.30±0.24

Table 1. Effects treatments on broilers carcass and internal organs.

^{a b c}Means within rows for different group with different superscripts differ (P<0.05)

International Conference on Agricultural Engineering and Natural Resources

دومیان کنفرانس بین المللی مهندسی کشاورزی و منابع طبیکی Archive of دومیان کنفرانس بین المللی مهندسی کشاورزی و منابع طبیکی Archive of دومیان کنفرانس بین المللی مهندسی کشاورزی و منابع طبیکی 16th to 17th February 2016 - Iran, Tehran/

4 Conclusion

The results revealed that using probiotics had significant effects (P < 0.05) on full carcass weight, empty carcass weight, head weight, neck weight, brain weight and also ileum weight without having any negative effect on performance of chicken broilers. Therefore, the use of ptobiotics is recommended. The authors also suggest that future research could be done with different breeds of chicken broilers.

References

- Barnes, E. M. Mead, G. C. Barnum, D. A. and Harry, E. G. (1972). The intestinal flora of the chicken in the period 2-6 weeks of age, with particular reference to the anaerobic bacteria. Br. Poultry Sci, vol. 13, pp. 311-326.
- Cavazzoni, V. Adami, A and Castroville, C. (1998). Performance of broiler chickens supplemented with Bacillus coagulans as probiotic. Brit. J. Pol. Sci, vol. 9, pp. 526-539.
- Fuller, R. (1989). Probiotics in man and animals. J. Appl. Bacteriol, vol. 66, pp. 365-378.
- Fuller, R. (2001). The chicken gut microflora and probiotic supplements. J. Poult. Sci, vol. 38, pp. 189-196.
- Higgins, J. P. Higgins, S. E. Vicente, J. L. Wolfenden,
 A. D. Tellez, G. and Hargis, B. M. (2007).
 Temporal Effects of Lactic Acid Bacteria Probiotic Culture on Salmonella in Neonatal Broilers. Poult. Sci, vol. 86, pp. 1662-1666.
- Huang, H. K. Choi, Y. J. Hude, R. Lee, J. W. Lee, B and Zhao, V. (2004). Effects of lactobacilli and acidophilic fungus on the production performance and immune response in broiler chickens. Poultry Sci, vol. 83, pp. 788-795.
- Javadi, A. Mirzaei, H. Safarmashaei, S. and Vahdatpour, S. (2012). Effects of probiotic (live and inactive Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on meat and intestinal microbial properties of Japanese quails. Afri. J. of Biotech, vol. 11, pp. 12083-12087.
- Kabir, S. M. (2009). The role of probiotics in the poultry industry. Int. J. Mol. Sci, vol. 10, pp. 3531-3546.
- Kabir, S. M. L. Rahman, M. M. Rahman, M. B. and Ahmed, S. U. (2004). The dynamics of probiotics on growth performance and

immune in broiler. Int. J. Poult. Sci, vol. 3, pp. 361-364.

- Kioumarsi, H, Jafari Khorshidi, K. Zahedi far, M. Seidavi, A. R. Mirhosseini, Z and Taherzadeh, M. R. (2008). The effect of dietary energy and protein level on performance efficiency and carcass characteristics of Taleshi lambs. Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv, vol. 3, pp.307-313.
- Mountzouris, C. K. Tsitrsikos, P. Palamidi, I. Arvaniti, A. Mohnl, M and Schatzmayr, G. (2010). Effects of probiotic inclusion levels in broiler nutrition on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, plasma immunoglobulins, and cecal microflora composition. Poult. Sci, vol. 89, pp. 58-67.
- Mountzouris, K. C. Tsirtsikos, P. Kalamara, E. Nitsch, S. Schatzmayr, G. and Fegeros, K. (2007). Evaluation of the efficacy of a probiotic containing Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Enterococcus, and Pediococcus strains in promoting broiler performance and modulating cecal microflora composition and metabolic activities. Poult. Sci, vol. 86, pp. 309-317.
- Pelicano, E. R. L. Souza, P. A. Souza, H. B. A. Oba, a. Norkus, E. A. Kodawara, L. M. and Lima, T. M. A. (2003). Carcass and cut yields and meat qualitative traits of broilers fed diets containing probiotics and prebiotics. Braz. J. Poult. Sci, vol. 3, pp. 207-214.
- Sojoudi, M. R, Dadashbeiki, M and Bouyeh, M. (2012). Effects of different levels of prebiotics TechnoMos on carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res, vol. 2, pp. 6778-6794.

www.SID.ir