



Virtual Project-Based Simulation Games: Effectiveness in Construction Education of Different Genders

Fatemeh Pariafsai

Young Researchers and Elite Club, Yadegar-e-Imam Khomeini (RAH) Branch, Islamic Azad University,
Tehran, Iran

Email: pariafsai@hotmail.com

Abstract

This paper reports on the results of testing a virtual project-based simulation game, called Skyscraper Simulator, in construction education. The results point to some interesting findings about different perceptions of learning gains between male and female participants. Skyscraper Simulator puts the players in a virtual construction environment and directs them from the beginning of building a skyscraper to the end. In this research study, the quantitative methods are used to measure the perception of construction learning gain. A retrospective pre and post playing perception of six main areas of construction knowledge, ability, and skills was used in a pilot test with 135 undergraduate construction students including 69 males and 66 females. The results indicate that there is a significant difference between the perception of participants in pre- and post- playing content knowledge, ability, and skills for all of the six construction main areas. Moreover, in 21 of 24 subareas, the difference between pre and post scores for female participants is higher than those of their male counterparts, which indicates that a virtual project-based simulation game like Skyscraper simulator is an effective tool for construction education at the undergraduate level, especially for female students.

Keywords: construction, education, gender, project-based, simulation



Introduction

Over the last few decades, gender issues in engineering education have been one of the controversial issues in academia. Using simulation games is one way to overcome the obstacles in engineering education. Since late 1950s until early 1970s, a lot of efforts have been made to facilitate learning by means of simulations and games. During recent years, simulations have been considerably used in education. In some areas like the medical field, simulations have been widely used and have shown significant advantages (Issenberg & Scalese, 2008; Kneebone, 2003). However using simulation in construction is not new, simulations were not widely used as a pedagogical method in construction education until 1990s. Since 50 years ago, simulations have progressed a little in construction (Nikolić, 2011; Rojas & Mukherjee, 2005, 2006; Sawhney, Mund, & Koczenasz, 2001; Veshosky & Egbers, 1991; Wall & Ahmed, 2008) whereas simulations which expose students to realistic experiences without real costs or risks are effective learning tools in construction education (Nikolić, 2011).

Methodology

The hypothesis of this research suggests that project-based simulation games such as Skyscraper Simulator can be an effective learning tool for both female and male construction students with limited education in construction. Skyscraper Simulator is a project-based game including animation which puts the players in a virtual construction environment and directs them from the beginning of a skyscraper construction project to the end. It guides the participants through a number of construction management using some help windows. Each decision the players make regarding equipment and personnel selection has an immediate effect on project cost and duration that are the criteria of project success. After the completion of game, the players complete a questionnaire in order to rate their retrospective pre- and post-playing perception of knowledge, ability and skills gained through playing the game. The construction content knowledge, ability and skills is defined in six major areas which are typical for their construction management curricula (Pariafsai, 2013) including construction stages and factors, estimation, machinery management, personnel management, ability and skills, and major steps in building a project. 135 undergraduate construction students, including 69 males and 66 females, successfully completed the test during spring 2016. Then, differences in perceived learning gain between male and female participants were measured. The two groups of participants were asked to rate their knowledge, ability, and skills before and after playing the simulation. A five-point Likert scale provided the following options: not at all, just a little, somewhat, a lot, and a great deal. The responses were separately analyzed for each gender using descriptive statistics including mean (μ), standard deviation (σ), and frequency. In order to compare differences in perceived learning gain of males with that of female participants, mean differences between pre-and-post playing scores were measured for each gender separately. Then, the self-reported evaluation data on each area were compared by means of a paired-samples T test.

Results

The results of the pilot test show that a virtual project-based simulation game like Skyscraper Simulator can be considered an effective construction education simulation for both male and female participants at the undergraduate level. Tables 1 to 6 show the difference between 69 male and 66 female students in each of the six main areas. The results indicate that there is a statistically significant difference between the retrospective pre and post playing perception of construction knowledge, ability and skills gained in all six areas for male and female undergraduate construction students.

Tables 7 to 12 show the percentage increase of the retrospective pre and post responses for male and female participants. This perception of change is higher in 21 of 24 subareas of all six areas for the female participants than indicated by their male counterparts.



Table 1: Results of Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the First Construction Knowledge Area

| Experience | Area | Construction stages | | Machinery | | Personnel | | Factors interactivity | |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| | | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
| Female | Mean (μ) | 2.818 | 3.879 | 2.652 | 3.818 | 2.591 | 3.636 | 2.136 | 3.530 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.9431 | 0.6909 | 0.8681 | 0.8211 | 0.8940 | 0.9709 | 0.7208 | 0.8269 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |
| Male | Mean (μ) | 3.029 | 4.072 | 3.072 | 4.000 | 2.667 | 3.812 | 2.536 | 3.783 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.9070 | 0.7340 | 0.9750 | 0.7670 | 0.8518 | 0.7529 | 0.9938 | 0.8201 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |

Table 2: Results of Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the Second Construction Knowledge Area

| Experience | Area | Site costs | | Machinery costs | | Personnel costs | | Capital management | |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| | | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
| Female | Mean (μ) | 1.985 | 3.455 | 1.894 | 3.242 | 2.091 | 3.455 | 1.773 | 3.167 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.7941 | 0.8626 | 0.8966 | 0.8604 | 0.7986 | 0.8073 | 0.7605 | 1.0013 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |
| Male | Mean (μ) | 2.275 | 3.696 | 2.304 | 3.609 | 2.710 | 3.797 | 2.290 | 3.551 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.9684 | 0.7134 | 1.0333 | 0.8947 | 1.0586 | 0.8674 | 1.0586 | 0.8834 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |

Table 3: Results of Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the Third Construction Knowledge Area

| Experience | Area | Machinery provision | | Optimum use of machinery | | Machinery management duties | | Machinery management goals | |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| | | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
| Female | Mean (μ) | 1.833 | 3.273 | 1.758 | 3.424 | 2.061 | 3.515 | 2.167 | 3.576 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.7562 | 0.9038 | 0.7857 | 0.8424 | 0.8749 | 0.9155 | 0.9213 | 0.9292 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |
| Male | Mean (μ) | 2.145 | 3.333 | 2.275 | 3.609 | 2.377 | 3.565 | 2.377 | 3.565 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 1.0329 | 0.9341 | 1.0694 | 0.9270 | 0.9564 | 0.9622 | 1.0860 | 1.0215 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |

Table 4: Results of Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the Fourth Construction Knowledge Area

| Experience | Area | Personnel provision | | Optimum use of personnel | | Personnel management duties | | Personnel management goals | |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| | | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
| Female | Mean (μ) | 2.061 | 3.348 | 2.045 | 3.439 | 2.212 | 3.652 | 2.258 | 3.545 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.8390 | 0.9363 | 0.8491 | 1.0688 | 0.8685 | 0.9999 | 0.9497 | 0.9637 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |
| Male | Mean (μ) | 2.420 | 3.696 | 2.478 | 3.681 | 2.623 | 3.754 | 2.580 | 3.754 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.8978 | 0.8796 | 0.9488 | 0.7572 | 1.0586 | 0.8472 | 1.1035 | 0.8813 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |



Table 5: Results of Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the Fifth Construction Knowledge Area

| Experience | Area | Conditions analysis | | Decision-making | | Understanding project management concepts | | Taking project manager responsibilities | |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|---|--------|---|--------|
| | | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
| Female | Mean (μ) | 2.091 | 3.621 | 2.485 | 4.076 | 2.227 | 3.727 | 2.470 | 3.909 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.8176 | 0.9075 | 0.9322 | 0.8649 | 0.9413 | 0.8329 | 1.2306 | 0.9880 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |
| Male | Mean (μ) | 2.348 | 3.609 | 2.551 | 3.913 | 2.565 | 3.826 | 2.826 | 4.116 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.9522 | 0.8440 | 1.0646 | 0.7996 | 1.0776 | 0.8217 | 1.2597 | 0.7959 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |

Table 6: Results of Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the Sixth Construction Knowledge Area

| Experience | Area | Excavation basic principles | | Foundation construction basic principles | | Steel structure erection basic principles | | Concrete floor construction basic principles | |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--|--------|---|--------|--|--------|
| | | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
| Female | Mean (μ) | 2.636 | 4.000 | 2.636 | 3.985 | 2.485 | 3.803 | 2.318 | 3.545 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 1.0761 | 0.9115 | 1.0323 | 0.9526 | 1.0988 | 0.9957 | 1.0101 | 0.9312 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |
| Male | Mean (μ) | 2.957 | 4.116 | 2.928 | 4.000 | 2.855 | 3.942 | 2.812 | 3.913 |
| | Stand. Dev. (σ) | 0.9917 | 0.6974 | 0.9598 | 0.8225 | 1.1018 | 0.8555 | 1.1018 | 0.8868 |
| | t-test ($p < 0.05$) | Different | | Different | | Different | | Different | |

Table 7: Percentage Increase in Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the 1st Construction Area

| Increase from Pre to Post Scores (%) | Area | Construction stages | Machinery | Personnel | Factors interactivity |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | Female | 106.06 | 116.67 | 104.55 | 139.4 |
| | Male | 104.35 | 92.75 | 114.49 | 124.64 |
| Female / Male | | 1.02 | 1.26 | 0.91 | 1.12 |

Table 8: Percentage Increase in Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the 2nd Construction Area

| Increase from Pre to Post Scores (%) | Area | Site costs | Machinery costs | Personnel costs | Capital management |
|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Female | 147 | 134.85 | 136.36 | 139.39 |
| | Male | 142.03 | 130.44 | 108.7 | 282.61 |
| Female / Male | | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.25 | 0.49 |

Table 9: Percentage Increase in Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the 3rd Construction Area

| Increase from Pre to Post Scores (%) | Area | Machinery provision | Optimum use of machinery | Machinery management duties | Machinery management goals |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Female | 143.94 | 166.67 | 145.46 | 140.91 |
| | Male | 118.84 | 133.33 | 118.84 | 118.84 |
| Female / Male | | 1.21 | 1.25 | 1.22 | 1.19 |

Table 10: Percentage Increase in Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the 4th Construction Area

| Increase from Pre to Post Scores (%) | Area | Personnel provision | Optimum use of personnel | Personnel management duties | Personnel management goals |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Female | 128.79 | 139.39 | 143.94 | 128.79 |
| | Male | 127.54 | 120.29 | 113.04 | 117.39 |
| Female / Male | | 1.01 | 1.16 | 1.27 | 1.1 |



Table 11: Percentage Increase in Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the 5th Construction Area

| | Area | Conditions analysis | Decision-making | Understanding project management concepts | Taking project manager responsibilities |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Increase from Pre to Post Scores (%) | Female | 60.61 | 159.09 | 150 | 143.94 |
| | Male | 126.09 | 136.23 | 126.09 | 128.99 |
| Female / Male | | 0.48 | 1.17 | 1.19 | 1.12 |

Table 12: Percentage Increase in Retrospection Perception of Knowledge Gain for the 6th Construction Area

| | Area | Excavation basic principles | Foundation construction basic principles | Steel structure erection basic principles | Concrete floor construction basic principles |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| Increase from Pre to Post Scores (%) | Female | 136.36 | 134.85 | 131.82 | 122.73 |
| | Male | 115.94 | 107.25 | 108.7 | 110.15 |
| Female / Male | | 1.18 | 1.26 | 1.21 | 1.11 |

The results of the pilot test show that project-based simulation games such as Skyscraper Simulator can provide an effective learning environment for students of both genders with limited education in construction. However, it can be considered more useful for females since the perception of change was bigger in 87.5% of all subareas for the female participants than indicated by their male counterparts.

Conclusion

The results of this research study indicate that Skyscraper Simulator as a virtual project-based simulation game developed perceived construction content knowledge, ability and skills of both genders. In addition, it showed that in 87.5% of all subareas, the female participants rated their learning gain higher than their male counterparts. According to the results of this investigation, virtual project-based simulation games like Skyscraper Simulator can be used as a new educational tool for construction education. Although more research is needed, this investigation indicates that such games could be a more successful alternate for female students in construction education.

References

- Issenberg, S. B., & Scalese, R. J. (2008). Simulation in health care education. *Perspectives in biology and medicine*, 51(1), 31-46.
- Kneebone, R. (2003). Simulation in surgical training: educational issues and practical implications. *Medical education*, 37(3), 267-277.
- Nikolić, D. (2011). *Evaluating a simulation game in construction engineering education: The virtual construction simulator 3*. Architectural Engineering.
- Pariafsai, F. (2013). *Management and Construction Equipment* (Vol. 1). Tehran, Iran: Adabestan.
- Rojas, E. M., & Mukherjee, A. (2005). General-purpose situational simulation environment for construction education. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 131(3), 319-329.
- Rojas, E. M., & Mukherjee, A. (2006). Multi-agent framework for general-purpose situational simulations in the construction management domain. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 20(3), 165-176.
- Sawhney, A., Mund, A., & Koczenasz, J. (2001). Internet-based interactive construction management learning system. *Journal of Construction Education*, 6(3), 124-138.
- Veshosky, D., & Egbers, J. H. (1991). Civil Engineering project management game: teaching with simulation. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*, 117(3), 203-213.
- Wall, J., & Ahmed, V. (2008). Use of a simulation game in delivering blended lifelong learning in the construction industry—Opportunities and Challenges. *Computers & Education*, 50(4), 1383-1393.