

## Second transpose of a dual valued derivation

M. ESSMAILI\*

### Abstract

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $X$  be an arbitrary Banach  $A$ -bimodule. In this paper, we study second transpose a derivation with value in dual Banach  $A$ -module  $X^*$ . Indeed, for a continuous derivation  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition such that the bounded linear map  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  to be a derivation, where  $\Lambda$  is composition of restriction and canonical injection maps.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: 46H20, 46H25.

Keywords and phrases: Derivation, second transpose, Banach module, module actions.

### 1. Introduction

The second transpose a derivation from a Banach algebra  $A$  into dual module  $A^*$  has been discussed in some papers, see for example [3], [4] and [5].

Dales, Rodriguez and Velasco in [3] studied second transpose a  $A^*$ -valued derivation  $D : A \rightarrow A^*$  and obtained conditions under which the second transpose  $D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$  is a derivation. Indeed, it is shown that  $D''$  is a derivation if and only if  $D''(A^{**}) \cdot A^{**} \subseteq A^*$  [3, Theorem 7.1]. Also in [5], the authors investigated the second transpose of a derivation  $D$  on Banach algebra  $A$  with value in  $X^*$ , where  $X$  is an arbitrary Banach  $A$ -bimodule. Indeed, they obtained a necessary and sufficient condition under which  $D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  be a derivation and generalized some results in [3].

Weak amenability of second dual of Banach algebras has been studied with a different approach by Ghahramani, Loy and Willis in [4]. They considered some conditions under which the map  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$  to be a derivation, where  $\Lambda$  is composition of restriction and canonical injection maps as defined in Section 2. Indeed, they claimed that  $\Lambda \circ D''$  is a derivation in the case where  $A$  is a left ideal of  $A$  or  $D$  is weakly compact, see also [2]. They used the fact that for each  $F, G \in A^{**}$ , the equality  $\Lambda(D''(F) \cdot G) = (\Lambda \circ D''(F)) \cdot G$  holds on  $A^{**}$  if and only if it holds just on  $A$ .

Recently, the authors in [1] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition such that the map  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$  be a derivation as follows and showed that part of the proof of [4, Theorem 2.3] and [2, Lemma 4(ii)] have the same computational gap.

\* speaker

**Theorem 1.1.** ([1, Theorem 3.1]) *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $D : A \rightarrow A^*$  be a continuous derivation. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$  is a derivation.
- (ii) For every  $F, G \in A^{**}$ ,  $\Lambda(D''(F) \cdot G) = (\Lambda \circ D''(F)) \cdot G$  on  $A$  and

$$\Lambda \circ D''(A^{**}) \subseteq WAP(A).$$

In this paper, our aim is to study of the map  $\Lambda \circ D''$  in general case which is an extension of some results in [1]. Indeed, for an arbitrary Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  and continuous derivation  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$ , we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition under which the map  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  to be a derivation. As a consequence, in the case where  $D$  is a weakly compact derivation, we obtain a simple condition equivalent to the map  $\Lambda \circ D''$  be a derivation.

## 2. Main results

Throughout the paper,  $X$  denotes a Banach space and  $X^*$ ,  $X^{**}$  and  $X^{***}$  are first, second and third dual of  $X$ , respectively. The canonical embedding map  $\kappa_X : X \rightarrow X^{**}$  is defined by

$$\kappa_X(x)(f) = f(x) \quad (x \in X, f \in X^*).$$

We also use the notation  $\kappa_X(x) = \widehat{x}$ . Furthermore, we consider the restriction map  $R : X^{***} \rightarrow X^*$  by the equation

$$R(\psi)(x) = \psi(\widehat{x}) \quad (\psi \in X^{***}, x \in X).$$

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We define the bounded linear map  $\Lambda : X^{***} \rightarrow X^{***}$  by*

$$\Lambda(\psi) = \kappa_{X^*} \circ R(\psi) \quad (\psi \in X^{***}).$$

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be Banach spaces and  $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be a bounded bilinear map. The flip map  $\pi^r : Y \times X \rightarrow Z$  is regarded by the equation*

$$\pi^r(y, x) = \pi(x, y) \quad (x \in X, y \in Y).$$

We also define the transpose of  $\pi^* : Z^* \times X \rightarrow Y^*$  by

$$\pi^*(z^*, x)(y) := z^*(\pi(x, y)) \quad (x \in X, y \in Y, z^* \in Z^*).$$

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule with the left module action  $\pi_1 : A \times X \rightarrow X$  and the right module action  $\pi_2 : X \times A \rightarrow X$ . Similar to [5], we denote this module structure by the triple  $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$ . It is straightforward to check that  $X^*$  is a Banach  $A$ -module where the left and right module actions are  $\pi_2^{r*}$  and  $\pi_1^*$ , respectively. Moreover,  $X^{**}$  is a Banach  $(A^{**}, \square)$ -module with the left and right module actions  $\pi_1^{***}$  and  $\pi_2^{***}$ . By this fact, we conclude that the triple  $(\pi_2^{***r}, X^{***}, \pi_1^{***})$  is a Banach  $(A^{**}, \square)$ -module.

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$  be a Banach  $A$ -module. A bounded linear map  $D : A \rightarrow X$  is a derivation if

$$D(ab) = \pi_1(a, D(b)) + \pi_2(D(a), b) \quad (a, b \in A).$$

Now, consider the  $A$ -module structure  $(\pi_2^{r*}, X^*, \pi_1^*)$  and suppose that  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  is a derivation. In this section, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition such that the map  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  be a derivation by regarding the  $A^{**}$ -module structure  $(\pi_2^{***r}, X^{***}, \pi_1^{***})$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$  be a Banach  $A$ -module. If  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  is a continuous derivation, then for each  $F, G \in A^{**}$ ,*

$$\Lambda \circ D''(F \square G) = \Lambda(\pi_1^{***}(D''(F), G)) + \Lambda(\pi_2^{r***}(F, D''(G))).$$

We recall that in throughout this section,  $X^*$  is regarded as a  $A$ -module with the structure  $(\pi_2^{r*}, X^*, \pi_1^*)$  and moreover  $X^{***}$  is a  $A^{**}$ -module with the structure  $(\pi_2^{***r}, X^{***}, \pi_1^{***})$ .

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition such that the map  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  be a derivation.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$  be a Banach  $A$ -module. If  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  is a continuous derivation, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  is a derivation.
- (ii) For each  $F, G \in A^{**}$  we have

$$\Lambda(\pi_1^{***}(D''(F), G)) = \pi_1^{***}(\Lambda \circ D''(F), G).$$

**Definition 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$  be a Banach  $A$ -module. We define set  $\mathcal{W}$  by*

$$\mathcal{W} = \{f \in X^* : \text{the map } x^{**} \rightarrow \langle \pi_1^{***}(G, x^{**}), f \rangle \text{ is weak}^* \text{ continuous on } X^{**}, \text{ for each } G \in \mathcal{A}^{**}\},$$

In particular, let  $A$  be a Banach algebra  $X = A$  and  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = m$ , where  $m$  is the multiplication map  $A$ . it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{W}$  is exactly the set of all almost periodic functionals on  $A$ .

By this fact, the following theorem may be regarded as a generalization of [1, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$  be a Banach  $A$ -module. If  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  is a continuous derivation, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  is a derivation.
- (ii) For each  $F, G \in A^{**}$ , the equality  $\Lambda(\pi_1^{***}(D''(F), G)) = \pi_1^{***}(\Lambda \circ D''(F), G)$  holds on  $X$  and moreover

$$\Lambda \circ D''(A^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}.$$

As a consequence, we have the following characterization for weakly compact derivations.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra,  $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$  be a Banach  $A$ -module and  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  be a weakly compact derivation. Then  $\Lambda \circ D'' : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{***}$  is a derivation if and only if  $D''(A^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ .*

### References

- [1] M. Amini, M. Essmaili and M. Filali, *The second transpose of a derivation and weak amenability of the second dual Banach algebras*, New York Journal Math. **22**, 265-275 (2016).
- [2] S. Barootkoob, H. R. E. Vishki, *Lifting derivations and  $n$ -weak amenability of the second dual of a Banach algebra*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **83**, 122-129 (2011).
- [3] H. G. Dales, A. Rodriguez and M. V. Velasco, *The second transpose of a derivation*, J. London Math. Soc. **64** (2), 707-721 (2001).
- [4] F. Ghahramani, R. J. Loy and G. A. Willis, *Amenability and weak amenability of second conjugate Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124**, 1489-1497 (1996).
- [5] S. Mohammadzadeh, H. R. E. Vishki, *Arens regularity of module actions and the second adjoint of a derivation*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **77**, 465-476 (2008).

M. ESSMAILI,  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 Kharazmi University,  
 Tehran, Iran.  
 e-mail: m.essmaili@khu.ac.ir